

**Fire Station No. 63 Project**

CEQA Guidelines Section 15183 Consistency Checklist

---

Appendix A:

**Summary Table from ECR/C FEIR**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**Table ES-3: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact**

#	Impact	Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact	Significance	Mitigation
3.1	Traffic and Circulation			
3.1-1	Future development under the proposed Plan, along with regional population and employment growth, would cause an increase in traffic and would cause intersection LOS standard established by the General Plan to be exceeded.	Policies C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6	Significant and Unavoidable	All feasible mitigation measures have been incorporated into the proposed Plan. The remaining mitigation measures were found to be in contravention to the proposed Plan and other planning efforts within the Planning Area, as well as economically and technologically infeasible, indicating that the impact remains significant.
3.1-2	Future development under the proposed Plan, along with regional population and employment growth, would cause an increase in traffic and would cause roadway LOS standards established by the county congestion management agency to be exceeded.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.2	Air Quality			
3.2-1	New development under the proposed Plan would not increase VMT at a faster rate than population and would not be inconsistent with air quality control measures in the 2010 Bay Area Clean Air Plan.	Policies LU-1, LU-3, LU-6, LU-7, LU-8, UD-7, C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, P-1, P-2, P-6, P-7, P-9, P-11	Less than Significant	None Required
3.2-2	New development under the proposed Plan may result in the location of new sensitive receptors near existing sources of TACs.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.2-3	New development under the proposed Plan may create odors affecting a substantial number of people.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.3	Energy and Greenhouse Gases			

**Table ES-3: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact**

#	Impact	Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact	Significance	Mitigation
3.3-1	New development under the proposed Plan would not result in wasteful, inefficient and unnecessary consumption of energy.	Policies LU-7, LU-10, UD-7, UD-13, UD-13, UD-16, UD-29, UD-30, UD-31, C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, P-1, P-2, P-6, P-11, P-12	Less than Significant	None Required
3.3-2	Implementation of the proposed Plan would result in a ratio of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions to service population that would not exceed 4.6 MTCO <sub>2</sub> e.	Policies LU-1, LU-2 LU-6, LU-7, LU-8, LU-10, UD-1, UD-2, UD-4, UD-7, UD-13, UD-16, UD-20, UD-24, C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, P-1, P-2, P-6, P-7, P-11	Less than Significant	None Required
3.3-3	Implementation of the proposed Plan would not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.4	Cultural Resources			
3.4-1	Future development under the proposed Plan may have the potential to adversely affect historic resources that appear on State historical inventories or may be eligible for inclusion on such lists.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.4-2	Future development under the proposed Plan may have the potential to adversely affect undiscovered archaeological resources and human remains.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.4-3	Future development under the proposed Plan may adversely affect paleontological resources.		No Impact	None Required
3.5	Noise			

**Table ES-3: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact**

#	Impact	Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact	Significance	Mitigation
3.5-1	New development under the proposed Plan may potentially expose existing noise-sensitive uses to construction-related temporary increases in ambient noise.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.5-2	Future development under the proposed Plan, together with regional growth, may contribute to a noticeable increase in the ambient noise level along El Camino Real, Mission Road, and Chestnut Avenue, which would impact nearby existing and proposed sensitive receptors.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.5-3	The proposed Plan may result in the siting of noise-sensitive receptors in close proximity to major sources of transportation noise.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.5-4	New development under the proposed Plan may result in the exposure of persons to, or generation of, excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.6	Parks and Recreation			
3.6-1	While future development under the proposed Plan may result in increased demand for and use of existing parks, proposed parks will meet level of service standards.	Policies UD-13, UD-14, UD-15, UD-16, UD-17, UD-18, UD-19, UD-22, UD-34	Less than Significant	None Required
3.6-2	Future development under the proposed Plan may increase the use of existing recreation and public facilities and increase the demand for such facilities, requiring the expansion of facilities that may have a negative impact on the environment.	Policies LU-4, LU-5	Less than Significant	None Required
3.7	Public Services and Utilities			
3.7-1	Future development under the proposed Plan may increase the demand for school facilities.		Less than Significant	None Required

**Table ES-3: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact**

#	Impact	Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact	Significance	Mitigation
3.7-2	Future development under the proposed Plan may require additional fire and police protection services, but would not exceed the capacity of existing facilities.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.7-3	Implementation of the proposed Plan would not require additional water supply beyond that available from existing entitlements and resources, as planned for in the Urban Water Management Plan, or cause an exceedance of distribution capacity.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.7-4	Future development under the proposed Plan would not cause wastewater treatment capacity of the WQCP to be exceeded and would not require the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of facilities.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.7-5	Future development under the proposed Plan will be served by a landfill with adequate permitted capacity and would not fail to fully comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.8	Aesthetics and Visual Resources			
3.8-1	Future development under the proposed Plan would improve the existing visual character of the Planning Area.	Policies UD-6, UD-8, UD-9, UD-11, UD-20, UD-21, UD-22, UD-23, UD-24	Beneficial	None Required
3.8-2	Future development under the proposed Plan may affect scenic views of Sign Hill and the San Bruno Mountains.	Policies H-1, H-2, H-3, UD-9	Less than Significant	None Required
3.8-3	Future development under the proposed Plan could result in increased light and glare.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.9	Land Use and Housing			

**Table ES-3: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact**

#	Impact	Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact	Significance	Mitigation
3.9-1	The proposed Plan makes substantial changes to the types of land uses in an area which may divide an established community.	Policies LU-1, LU-5, LU-7, LU-8, LU-10, UD-1, UD-2, UD-3, UD-4, UD-5, UD-6, UD-8	Less than Significant	None Required
3.9-2	Implementation of the proposed Plan may displace substantial numbers of existing housing, population, or jobs.	Policies LU-3, LU-6, LU-7, LU-8	Less than Significant	None Required
3.9-3	The proposed Plan may conflict with the City General Plan or Zoning Ordinance.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.9-4	The proposed Plan may conflict with height limits established for the San Francisco International Airport airspace.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.10	Geology, Soils, Seismicity			
3.10-1	Implementation of the proposed Plan may expose people or structures to the rupture of a known earthquake fault.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.10-2	Implementation of the proposed Plan may expose people or structures to seismic hazards such as ground shaking or liquefaction.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.10-3	Implementation of the proposed Plan may expose people or structures to geologic hazards, including expansive soils and erosion.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.11	Hydrology and Flooding			
3.11-1	Future development under the proposed Plan may result in the violation of water quality standards or waste discharge requirements.		Less than Significant	None Required

**Table ES-3: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact**

#	Impact	Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact	Significance	Mitigation
3.11-2	Future development under the proposed Plan may substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site in a manner which would result in substantial erosion, siltation or runoff resulting in flooding.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.11-3	Future development under the proposed Plan may substantially create or contribute to runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems, provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff, or degrade water quality.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.11-4	Future development under the proposed Plan may expose people and structures to flooding hazards.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.12	Impacts Not Significant			
3.12-1	<i>Agriculture and Forest Resources</i>			
3.12-1-1	Future development under the proposed Plan may affect agriculture and forest resources.		No Impact	None Required
3.12-2	<i>Biology</i>			
3.12-2-1	Future development under the proposed Plan may affect candidate, sensitive, or special-status plant or animal species.		No Impact	None Required
3.12-2-2	Future development under the proposed Plan may affect federally protected wetlands or migratory wildlife corridors.		No Impact	None Required
3.12-2-3	The proposed Plan may conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance, Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan.		No Impact	None Required

**Table ES-3: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact**

#	Impact	Proposed Plan Policies that Reduce the Impact	Significance	Mitigation
<i>3.12-3 Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i>				
3.12-3-1	Future land uses proposed by the proposed Plan may involve the use, transport, and disposal of hazardous materials.		No Impact	None Required
3.12-3-2	Future land uses in the proposed Plan may emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within a quarter mile of an existing or proposed school.		No Impact	None Required
3.12-3-3	Future development may be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 ("Cortese List").		No Impact	None Required
3.12-3-4	Future development may be located within an airport use, which may result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in area.		Less than Significant	None Required
3.12-3-5	The proposed Plan may impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.		No Impact	None Required
3.12-3-6	Future development under the proposed Plan may expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires.		No Impact	None Required
<i>3.12-4 Mineral Resources</i>				
3.12-4-1	Future development under the proposed Plan may affect mineral resources.		No Impact	None Required

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK