

City Council on 2021-10-13 6:00 PM - HYBRID IN-PERSON/VIRTUAL MEETING

10-13-21 18:00

Eric Hughes

Location:

Submitted At: 2:30pm 10-13-21

I disagree that this is still an emergency. If after about one year the public is still in danger due to precarious trees, then someone is clearly negligent. The precarious trees which were an imminent threat should have already long been removed. The public should be fenced off or otherwise prohibited from approaching any of the other precarious trees that still need removal. Any trees that still need to be removed should not need a declaration that it is an emergency. Budget and plan any required remediation work using normal methods and stop calling this work necessary because it is an emergency situation. While it arose from an emergency, and the initial work to assess and fix any imminent threats would be considered an emergency response, what is occurring now shouldn't need to be an emergency.

If a building is damaged by an earthquake or fire, would the replacement building be built under an emergency declaration? I certainly hope not as the emergency use of funds should be to mitigate any imminent threat to the public not to fix all the issues that arose from the initial emergency.

Eric Hughes 20 year resident