### Lee, Marian

Coalition for Fair Employm

From: Sent:

Wednesday, January 9, 2019 9:01 AM

To: Subject: Lee, Marian Fwd: Question re PLA

Futrell, Mike

FYI

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: ericchristen < ericdchristen@gmail.com >

Date: January 9, 2019 at 8:19:39 AM PST

To: <mike.futrell@ssf.net>
Subject: Question re PLA

Good Morning Mike:

My name is Eric Christen and I represent contractors across the state who simply will not work under the discriminatory provisions of PLAs. I see that the council is taking up the issue today regarding the Community Civic Campus Project and I just had a few questions for you:

- 1. Has this been discussed at the council level previously? We monitor agendas very aggressively and did not see anything until we caught today's item.
- 2. I understand these are political documents but is there any chance that a majority of the council would be swayed into at least postponing this vote if they were to hear from contractors who would bid this project but for the PLA?

Thank you for your time and your service in the Navy. As the husband of a group commander in the Air Force I'm well aware of what that means for our country.

On a political note I was also a big fan of your former boss (David Vitter).

Best regards,

Eric Christen

Executive Director

Coalition for Fair Employment in construction

www.opencompca.com

PLAs are typically touted as being in the "best interests of the workers." But here is the painful truth for construction workers who are forced to participate in a PLA. It could cost a worker – in this example a journey person electrician – as much as \$70,233 to work under a PLA.

The "total package" of wages and benefits are set by the state in what is called a "prevailing wage determination" which is almost always based upon the union's collective bargaining agreement. In Orange County for the job of inside wireman – the total package is \$58.57 an hour but let's look what happens to that.

The package is composed of an hourly wage, and amounts for health insurance, pension, training, and an amount for "other purposes" (really - a union slush fund).

So long as the total of payments add up to the total package – the amounts for some of these items can vary – but the wage can never drop below \$39.50. But watch what happens and the impact these variances have on non-union workers who are forced to contribute under the PLA.

The PW amount for health and welfare is set at \$10.20 an hour – and that is the amount the contractor must send to the union for medical coverage for the covered employee. That is \$1,632 a month for medical. WECA collects \$720 a month for a full coverage plan for a typical covered worker and family and another example – under the ACA – you can purchase a gold plan policy for a family of three for \$856 a month. So, at a minimum, the electrician forced into the unions' "one size fits all plan" costs him or her at least \$800 a month! And if the worker doesn't need any medical coverage – say they are covered on their spouse's plan or parent's – they lose the entire \$1,632 for coverage they don't need (remember – the total package must add up to \$58.57 – so an employer who pays less than \$10.20 an hour – pays more into one of the other categories – usually pension.

So let's look at the pension. That is set at \$7.45 an hour. The vesting can vary from union to union but according to the IBEW/NECA website – it is five years for locals in Southern California. So, unless the non-union worker gets five years of work in the IBEW – they lose the entire \$7.45 because they never qualify for retirement from the union. To qualify for being part of the total package, a non-union contractor must make an irrevocable contribution to the benefit of the worker – usually the contributions are made into a 401K.

The package includes an amount called "other payments" which we in the merit shop call the unions' slush fund. In the OC it's \$.44 an hour – not much – but it still is an involuntary "deduction" from the total package that in the merit shop is typically paid into pension.

Finally – these workers now are obligated to pay union dues for a union they did not voluntarily join. I am sure some in the audience will complain that no one can be forced to join a union or pay dues – but I've seen PLAs that mandate union membership beginning on the 7th day of work – so I argue the dues are required – and in SLO it is \$31.70 a month or \$.20.

So when you add up the higher costs for medical, the loss of pension contributions, the payment of dues and "other" fees, a PLA at Centralia SD will cost a non-union electrician at least \$13.14 an hour — for a union the worker never agreed to join!

## PLAs Cost Workers

These scenarios assume a two-year construction project with 48 weeks of full-time, paid employment.

	JP electrician, 2	JP electrician, 2	JP electrician, no
	dependents. PLA in	dependents. No	dependents, health
	place	PLA in place	covered under ACA
Total package	\$58.57	\$58.57	\$58.57
Health & Welfare	\$10.20 <sup>2</sup>	\$5.35 <sup>3</sup>	\$0
Training <sup>4</sup>	\$0.78	\$0.78	\$0.78
Union Dues <sup>5</sup>	\$0.20	-	-
"Other"6	\$0.44	-	-
"Lost" Pension <sup>7</sup>	\$7.45	-	-
Available Take home pay	\$39.50	\$52.44	\$57.79
		\$13.148	\$18.499
"Savings" to worker free from PLA 10		\$50,457.60	\$71,001.60

<sup>2</sup> Paid to union trust – assumes full coverage for employee and dependents

http://www.coveredca.com/shopandcompare/2015/#healthplans

5 https://www.unionfacts.com/lu/25310/IBEW/639/#membership-tab

<sup>7</sup> According to IBEW/NECA pension vests in five years, contributions made if worker doesn't vest are "lost." https://www.scibew-neca.org/html/pspd0080.htm

<sup>9</sup> Ibic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These scenarios do not calculate any tax consequences that could result from an employers decision to pay additional wages to reach the total package or make pension contributions that could shield some payments from federal and/or state taxation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For illustration, this is an estimate from Covered California for a Blue Shield Gold 80 PPO policy for this family in SLO County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Required payment of training contribution to State CAC or apprenticeship program

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> From DIR PW calculations. *INCLUDES AN AMOUNT FOR THE NATIONAL LABOR-MANAGEMENT COOPERATION FUND AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE MAINTENANCE FUND.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This is the difference between the required basic hourly rate of \$39.30 and the total package of \$58.37. The employer MAY pay this on the wage – which results in additional costs to employee and employer or more typically, may make an irrevocable contribution to a retirement account like a 401K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> As previously noted, this amount could be in the form of wages or a contribution to a pension program.



# PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS

# A Record of Failure and Discrimination

Eric Christen
Executive Director, CFEC

# WHAT IS A PLA?

- CFEC was created in 1998 to oppose Project Labor Agreements (PLAs).
- CEFC is comprised of both union and non-union companies and associations
- Without exception <u>every PLA has contained the</u> <u>following provisions</u> that make them problematic for merit shop contractors, employees, and apprentices.

## WHAT IS A PLA? (CONT.)

All employees are required to comply with the union provision of the applicable Master Labor Agreement regarding rendering payment of applicable monthly working dues and any non-initiation fees.

## WHAT IS A PLA? (CONT.)

All Employers, not signatory to a Master Labor Agreement with the appropriate Union, may employ, as needed, first, a member of his/her core workforce, then an employee through a referral from the appropriate union hiring hall, then a second core employee, and a second employee through the referral system, and so on until a maximum of four (6) core employees are employed, after which all further employees shall be referred from the appropriate union hiring hall.

## WHAT IS A PLA? (CONT.)

Employers agree to be bound by the hiring practices of the respective Union, including hiring of apprentices, and to utilize its registration facilities and referral systems.

Employers shall pay contributions to the established employee health, welfare, and pension plans in the amounts designated in the appropriate Master Labor Agreement and make all employee authorized deductions in the amounts designated in the appropriate Master Labor Agreement

# AT WHOM ARE THESE PROVISIONS AIMED?

Union membership and representation for the construction industry in California, 2010-2013:

Year	Member %
2013	15.9
2012	19.8
2011	16.2
2010	13.9

## PLAS: THE OPPOSITION

Opposed:

Air Conditioning and Trades Association American Subcontractors Association California Subcontractors Association

American Road Builders and Transportation Association

Asian American Contractors Association Associated Builders and Contractors

Associated General Contractors Black Contractors Association

Bay Area Black Contractors Association

Californians for the Advancement of Apprenticeship & Training

Golden State Builder's Exchanges

Independent Roofing Contractors of California

Independent Electrical Contractors Association

Kern Minority Contractors Association

National Association of Minority Contractors

National Association of Women in Construction

Painting Decorating Contractors Association Plumbing and Heating Contractors of California

Western Electrical Contractors Association

Independent Electrical Contractors Association

In Favor:

Some unions but not all

## PLAS ARE OPPOSED BY WOMEN & MINORITY **ORGANIZATIONS**

"PLAs are de facto segregation...African-American workers are significantly underrepresented in all crafts of construction union shops...PLAs are noncompetitive and, most of all, discriminatory.

National Black Chamber of Commerce

"WCOE opposes government mandated PLAs...PLAs will disproportionately impact small business, particularly those owned by women and minorities.

Women Construction Owners and Executives

"Bay Area Black Contractors Association has been a strong advocate for merit shop construction firms in the Bay Area and we are opposed to PLAs.

Bay Area Black Contractors Association

"We believe PLAs make it more difficult for minority-owned contractors to compete...they effectively work against the goals of increasing the number of projects awarded to minority-owned businesses by placing roadblocks in the way. "

Lotin Builders Association

"The ultimate effect of the San Francisco Airport PLA is clear...once the PLA was implemented minority business prime contract participation dropped 91.9%. This PLA I as been a disaster for minority-owned businesses.

Asian American Contractors Association

# 43 EDITORIALS IN CA. HAVE OPPOSED PLAS

But PLAs favorunion contractors and, by extension, unions. Thus public officials adopting a PLA are currying favor with, and possibly political campaign contributions from, unions. This is just the kind of cronyism the competitive bidding laws seek to avoid."

Engineering News-Record

The fact is that PLAs increase construction costs considerably and have no place in our community."

Contra Costa Times

"Competition is the key to our economy...that 's why we question the Port of Oakland's decision to negotiate an agreement (PLA) that does just the opposite.

Oakland Tribune

"At issue is whether (UC) regents should force people to join a private group (unions) in order to work on a job. That's hardly consistent with the intent of a major University."

The Modesto Bee

"PLAs are about empowering unions, not helping workers."

The Sacramento Bee

# UNION FIRMS OPPOSED TO PLAS

Myth: "PLAs are only opposed by merit shop contractors who don't take care of their workers."

Reality: PLAs are opposed by <u>every seament</u> of the construction industry, union and merit shop alike.

"PLA's first and foremost are discrimination, period. Secondly PLAs interfere with existing work rules that union contractors already have in place. It's companies like mine that employ union workers, not the political types like (union) business agents who are pushing these things. This is important to remember because we will probably not bid a project covered by a PLA."

Wayne Lindholm Hensel Phelps Construction

## THE PEOPLE ARE OPPOSED TO THEM

City of Oceanside - Charter Provision - Fair and Open Competition

Approved by 54% of Voters, June 8, 2010

City of Chula Vista – Ordinance – Fair and Open Competition in Contracting

Approved by 56% of Voters, June 8, 2010

San Diego County -- Charter Provision -- Prohibition on Requiring Project Labor Agreements

Approved by 76% of Voters, November 2, 2010 (nullified by Senate Bill 922)

City of San Diego – Ordinance - Fair and Open Competition in Construction

Approved by 58% of Voters, June 5, 2012

City of El Cajon - Charter Provision - Purchasing and Contracts

Approved by 58% of Voters, June 5, 2012

## PLAS: A RECORD OF FAILURE

#### San Francisco International Airport Expansion

- \$500 million over budget (30%) and completed over a year behind schedule
- Two separate strikes
- Subject to an FBI investigation and a lawsuit over minority participation

### Port of Oakland

Two separate strikes

### Contra Costa County's Family Law Center

- Before PLA was imposed there were 13 prospective bidders. Engineer's estimate \$8.5 million
- After PLA was imposed there were 5 bidders with the low bid 21% over estimate.

### West Contra Costa Unified School District

First school to be built under the PLA (DeJean Middle Schoo!) was supposed to cost \$15 million. Final cost: \$36 million!

#### Petco Park in San Diego

Two work stoppages

## A RECORD OF FAILURE (CONT.)

#### L.A. Police Headquarters

More than \$150 million over budget

Strike and 2 work stoppages

### Los Angeles Community College District

7-part series in the L.A. Times on the waste, fraud, and abuse that took

Millions wasted on projects that had to be fixed and repaired due to poor workmanship

### Port of L.A. Pier 400 Project

Local residents were 7% and 12% of the work force despite goal of 30% Oakland Unified School District

A Construction Bond was passed for \$300 million in order to rehab and modernized old schools.

Bids went out for a rehab project which received <u>EIGHT bids</u>. The lowest responsible bidder came in at \$1.8 million —which happened to be from a merit shop contractor.

After the bids came in, the district decided to re-bid the contracts for the rehab project, as a PLA had been placed on all work. The result was another bid and this time there were only THREE bidders with the lowest coming in at \$2.2 million dollars. The project's cost actually increased by 24%, which is typical.

# A RECORD OF FAILURE (CONT.)

# Rancho Campana High School - Oxnard Union High School District

Estimate: \$42-\$45 million

Preliminary Guaranteed Maximum Price: \$58,285,794

Staff blames Project Labor Agreement <u>as #1 of five likely</u> <u>reasons</u> for the "staggering" cost of the project.

# A RECORD OF FAILURE (CONT.)

On Pinole Valley High School, in the WCCUSD, WLC Architects had an original fee for their work of \$8.5 million based on a total construction cost of \$84.6 million. But by February of 2014, the construction cost had ballooned to nearly \$134.4 million. Billing at 12 percent of the total, MacQuarrie said WLC's fees should be increased by \$4.3 million.

But that wasn't all. WLC said they should be compensated more than \$3.2 million for the increased timeline, which has stretched from about six years to 10 years. In addition, he offered to provide on-site construction administration at the school for 59 months at a cost of \$806,251, for a grand total of \$8.3 million more, which would nearly double WLC's contract from \$8.5 million to \$16.9 million.

## PLAS: WHAT THE STUDIES SAY

To date every comprehensive study done on PLAs refutes PLA proponents claims. What do the facts say?

"...the <u>reduction in the number of prospective bidders</u> willing to bid work may not have resulted in the lowest possible price because of reduced competition."

Montgomery Watson review of Sump 2 Project in Sacramento

"...on federally-funded construction alone PLAs will <u>increase</u> costs an <u>estimated \$4.8 billion</u>, or in these days of budgetary restraint, a reduction in the amount of federal construction spending by 30%."

Herbert R. North rup-Government Union Review

"Cost savings proposed by the PLA proponents are <u>substantially unfounded."</u>
San Diego County Tazpayers Association Re

San Diego County Taxpayers Association Review of San Diego County Water Authority 's Emergency Storage Project

"The Southern Nevada Water Authority PLA end-result has been <u>reduced competition</u> and higher costs."

University of Nevada Las Vegas review of SNWA PLA

"We have found no discernible economic or social (increased safety or pay for workers) benefit to utilizing a PLA, but there is substantial evidence that indicates the opposite is true."

Ernst & Young PLA review on the Frie County Courthouse (New York)

"In our analysis of 52 school projects the average PLA job cost \$31 more per square foot."

Bearon Hil! Instirute Study (MA.)

"PLAs add 13-15% more to the cost of projects."

National University Study After Reviewing 500+ 5, hool Construction Projects in California

## UNION LEADERS IN THEIR OWN WORDS:

"These (projects) were built with a hundred-percent union labor using project labor agreements ."

Former California Building and Construction Trades Council President
Robert Balgenorth in speech before National IBEW

"...but it (PLA) does make us the exclusive source of manpower for the companies that successfully bid the work."

#### Joseph Hunt

Ironworkers International President

PLAs have, in some ways, become a welfare program for some unions that have been unable, unwilling or incapable of meeting the markets needs for years.

Mark Breslin, Engineering and Utility Contractors Association

# UNION LEADERS IN THEIR OWN WORDS (CONT.)

"The best way to describe the meeting (John Swett USD Board Meeting) is that ABC's snake oil salesmen and women, Eric "Captain Road Rage" Christian (sic), Kevin "The Weasel" Dayton, and Nicole "Clueless" Goehring and their small band of rat contractors..."

"As far as I'm concerned there's no difference between ABC and child molesters."

Greg Feere, Contra Costa County Building and Construction Trades Council (the guy who "negotiates" PLAs)

# QUESTIONS THAT REQUIRE ANSWERS:

- What problems now exist that require a PLA to "correct" them?
- What are current "local hire" statistics on your projects?
- What do other PLAs actually say about "local hire" goals? Can it be mandated?
- How can union bosses, who have a irrational & demonstrated hatred of "others", be expected to negotiate fairly for merit shop contractors, workers, and apprentices?
- How many strikes, slowdowns, and work stoppages have occurred on your projects?
- Do PLAs in fact prevent such things from occurring?
- Have you conducted a survey of contractors who have bid projects in the past for you to see what their feelings are regarding PLAs and asking them if they would bid a project with one?
- Will the Trustees be presented with copies of each union's "Schedule A" and "Master Agreement"?
- What are the "dues" and "fees" for each union?
- Where is your BOC on the issue of PLAs?
- Why should non-union firms who already provide benefits be forced to pay into union plans?
- What are vesting requirements for each trade union?
  - How much will it cost you simply to oversee the PLA? (RCCD)

## LOCAL HIRE?

SBCCD Boards Local Hire Policy Keeps Jobs and Wages in the Inland Empire
Publish Date 6/16/2014 2:22 PM By: Alisa Snarkia Moore, Esq., SBCCD Communications and PR

80% of Construction Projects using Local Labor

In November, 2013, the Board of Trustees of the SAN BERNARDINO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT (SB CCD) unanimously approved Policy number BP 6610 in order to create opportunities for local hires and local ousiness participation on district capital improvement and construction projects.

A recent review of the projects administered by the facilities offices of the District show that the policy has been implemented with great success.

"Between November 2013, when our local workforce participation statistics were last evaluated, and April 2014, the latest date for which data is available, the current local workforce analysis on our nine active Measure M Bond projects, four at San Bernardino Valley College and five at Crafton Hills College, indicates that we have used 80% local workforce, which supports our commitment to keeping our resources here in the Inland Empire," said SBCCD CHANCELLOR BRUCE BARON. "The combined value of the contracts involved for these projects is in excess of \$15.5 million, of which wages are a substantial component."

# \$1.8 MILLION AT RCCD JUST TO OVERSEE!

#### Agenda Item (IV-E-1)

Recommended Action

111/3/2014 - Committee

Committee - Facilities (IV E-1)

Agreement Amendment No. 1 for Project Labor Administration with Padilla and Associates District It is recommended that the Board of Trustees approve Agreement Amendment No. 1 in the amount not to exceed \$200,000 for project labor administration services with Padilla and Associates for additional projects with the Riverside Community College District

#### Background Narrative:

On March 16, 2010 the Board of Trustees approved an agreement with Padilla and Associates in the amount of \$1,600,000 for Project Labor Agreement (PLA) for Measure C projects in Riverside Community College District. The number of projects has exceeded the projects envisioned when the PLA was passed by the Board. The original contract amount in the PLA has been nearly expended or encumbered. The projects currently underway or about to start will require additional funds for the PLA in the amount of \$200,000. At this time it is requested that the Board of Trustees approve Agreement Amendment No. 1 in the amount

At this time it is requested that the Board of Trustees approve Agreement Amendment No. 1 in the amount not to exceed \$200,000 for additional PLA services with Padilla and Associates for additional projects with the Riverside Community College District. This would bring the total contract amount to \$1,800,000.

# What Women and Minority Groups Say About PLAs

"PLAs are de facto segregation...
African-American workers are
significantly underrepresented in
all crafts of construction union
shops...PLAs are non-competitive
and, most of all, discriminatory."

National Black Chamber of Commerce "We believe PLAs make it more difficult for minority-owned contractors to compete...they effectively work against the goals of increasing the number of projects awarded to minority-owned businesses by placing roadblocks in the way."

Latin Builders Association

"Bay Area Black Contractors Association has been a strong advocate for merit shop construction firms in the Bay Area and we are opposed to PLAs."

Bay Area Black Contractors Association

"WCOE opposes government mandated PLAs...PLAs will disproportionately impact small business, particularly those owned by women and minorities."

Women Construction
Owners and Executives

"The ultimate effect of the San Francisco Airport PLA is clear...once the PLA was implemented minority business prime contract participation dropped 91.9%. This PLA has been a disaster for minority-owned

Asian American Contractors Association

At Some Point it

Becomes Covious What PLAs are REALLY All About

businesses."