CITY COUNCIL 2023

FLOR NICOLAS, MAYOR (DIST. 3) MARK NAGALES, VICE MAYOR (DIST. 2) MARK ADDIEGO, MEMBER (DIST. 1) JAMES COLEMAN, MEMBER (DIST. 4) EDDIE FLORES, MEMBER (DIST. 5)

SHARON RANALS, INTERIM CITY MANAGER

Dear [LEGISLATOR NAME]:	
Thank you for your leadership in the State We appreciate your in	on critical issues ranging aclusive approach to addressing the needs of
all Californians and empowering communities to co	ome together to create positive change for all.
We are writing to request that Assembly Bill ("AB" their standing committees, and regional boards more teleconference requirements related to quorums, just limitations on the number of remote meetings mem	re flexibility to establish their own st cause and emergency requirements, and

In March 2020, the Governor issued Executive Order N-29-20, giving local agencies more flexibility to deploy teleconferencing, and it was a monumental success. It protected the health and safety of civil servants and the public, while effectively and efficiently conducting the public's business.

Teleconferencing during the pandemic increased public participation, reduced single occupancy vehicle trips and travel costs, and decreased greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. We believe there are several unintended consequences of AB 2449 and respectfully request that the following requirements be considered for amendment:

- 1. **Just cause travel**. Local city councils and their standing committees are often comprised of individuals with full-time occupations. AB 2449's "just cause" requirement unfairly allows travel while on business "of the legislative body or another state or local agency," but not travel related to an individual's occupation. This raises an equity concern that participation in local and regional government would be limited to officials at a certain socioeconomic level. Travel for a member's occupation should be allowed under "just cause."
- 2. Cap on number of remote meetings allowed. The limitation on the number of meetings that may be attended remotely appears arbitrary. The State could consider mandating a "floor" for in-person meetings, i.e. at least two meetings per year must be in person, and allow regional boards and standing committees maximum flexibility in determining the "ceiling" or "cap" on the number of remote meetings permissible. To contrast, local officials serve in the communities in which they live and the return to in-person meetings for local jurisdictions is not an issue. Nonetheless, local jurisdictions and their constituents are best suited to decide whether to host in-person or virtual meetings and to decide the

limitations of those meetings.

- 3. **Quorum**. Requiring a quorum at a single physical location for regional boards and their subcommittees, and mandating that members of these bodies travel long distances to attend in-person meetings, contradicts the State's efforts to curb GHG emissions and seems arbitrary and particularly burdensome when regional boards are comprised of a geographically diverse membership of cities and counties. Regional boards, as well as standing committees of a city council should be allowed to stay 100% remote, with the option of deciding as a body, how many meetings should be attended in-person versus remote.
- 4. **Webcasting technology**. Unlike local city councils, regional boards meet in a variety of locations that often are not equipped with webcasting technology to enable hybrid meetings. Under AB 2449 regional boards will need to pivot from online meetings to in- person meetings.
- 5. **Disclosure**. The requirement to publicly disclose any individual in the room over the age of 18 is a privacy violation. For example, if a member is under the care of an at-home nurse, this should not need to be shared publicly.

We have serious concerns regarding AB 2449's measures to limit remote teleconferencing to a handful of emergency or restrictive just cause approvals. Without amendment, these measures will result in unnecessarily long travel times to meetings, suppressed attendance, and difficulty reaching quorum, which will in turn negatively impact the governing body's productive work.

We respectfully request that AB 2449 be amended to provide more flexibility to regional agencies and local governing bodies. The Brown Act ensures that officials and their constituents can have open and transparent meetings, which we now know can occur using modern technology. As representatives of local governments and regional boards, we believe in the benefit of increased access in our communities. We look forward to collaborating with you to promote greater flexibility and participation in the decision making process by incorporating the changes we have proposed. Thank you for your time and consideration of this important matter that impacts all of us.

Sincerely,

Buenaflor Nicolas Mayor, South San Francisco