



865 The Alemeda 8sn Jose, CA 95126-3123 Talephone 408-286-5515 Faceimils 408-228-8114

April 19, 2022 2021097

Mr. Robert Hahn, PE City of South San Francisco 315 Maple Ave. South San Francisco, CA 94080

Cc: Mr. Matthew Ruble, PE

Subject: City of South San Francisco BPMP, South San Francisco, CA

Additional Service Request (ASR) No. 03 Biological Assessment, Section 7 Consultation & Permits for Utah Ave In-Water Work

Dear Bob:

This additional service request proposal is to include the additional scope required to incorporate a Biological Assessment, Section 7 Consultation and associated additional permit coordination anticipated for the Utah Ave in-water work.

I. ASR No. 3 - BACKGROUND AND UNDERSTANDING

In the original contract, it was assumed that a Biological Assessment and Section 7 Consultation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and/or the National Marine Fisheries Services (NMFS) would not be required because impacts on these species (if present) would be avoided or minimized to obtain a "No Effect" determination. However, as a result of the biological investigations conducted to date in support of the NESMI, additional effort and documentation related to biological investigations is required to support NEPA approval and permitting for the project.

In order to provide the most economical approach to delivery of the maintenance activities, the environmental consultant would complete environmental documentation and permitting for all nine bridge sites under one Federal Project Number and one set of environmental documents and permits. If the City elects to separate out the bridge sites into one or more sets of projects in order to deliver some of the maintenance actions sooner than others, then we would provide the associated environmental services under a revised scope of work and budget.

Based on this, we are requesting an amendment to the current contract to incorporate these additional services, as described further below.

II. ASR No. 3 - SCOPE OF WORK

TASK 3 – ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES AND DOCUMENTATION

Project Management

The contract scope of work for project management was anticipated to be five months for the NEPA phase and 14 months for the environmental permitting phase. Project kick-off began in April 2021 and NEPA coordination has been ongoing. The environmental permitting phase has not been initiated. Due to the extension of the project schedule for the NEPA phase, to date, we have provided 12 months of project management and is expecting 10 additional months to complete the NEPA phase. As a result,



City of South San Francisco BPMP, South San Francisco, CA Additional Service Request No. 03 Biological Assessment, Section 7 Consultation & Permits for Utah Ave In-Water Work

> April 19, 2022 Page 2 of 8

we are requesting additional budget to accommodate additional time required to complete these continued activities. The project management effort for ASR No. 3 is included in the following subtasks.

Deliverables: 10 additional months of Project Management for the NEPA phase

Task 3.2: NEPA Approval

Updated Preliminary Environment Study Form

The environmental consultant has begun preparation of an updated PES form to address all nine bridge maintenance locations included in the City's BPMP (i.e., six original bridges and three new bridges). Following project-level analysis during the preparation of the NES(MI), the project at Utah Avenue (Bridge #35C0101) was determined to potentially have unavoidable impacts on the federal threatened southern green sturgeon and southern green sturgeon designated critical habitat and Section 7 consultation under the Endangered Species Act will be required. Because of this, additional efforts are required to incorporate Section 7 resources within the PES. The consultant will provide updated responses to the questions on the PES form to address Section 7 resources.

Deliverables: One electronic copy of the PES form.

NEPA Categorical Exclusion (Optional Task)

Following project-level analysis during the preparation of the NES(MI), Section 7 consultation under the Endangered Species Act will be required. Because of this change, greater efforts will be required to obtain a CE determination and signed CE form from Caltrans. In addition, because Section 7 resources are within the project, more effort will be required to complete the Environmental Commitments Record (ECR), which would be provided to Caltrans.

Deliverable: One electronic copy of the Environmental Commitments Record, NEPA CE Determination, Signed NEPA CE Form.

Task 3.3: Biological Resources: Natural Environment Study [Minimal Impacts]

Based on the Caltrans PES and preliminary research, we understood that there was potential for USFWS federal listed species, such as the federally threatened California red-legged frog and the federal and state endangered California Ridgway's rail, to be present in the project vicinity; however, based on the project action, assumed any impacts on these species (if present) would be avoided or minimized to obtain a "No Effect" determination. Further, we did not expect any species under the jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to have potential to be within the BSA.

Following project-level surveys and thorough technical analysis during the preparation of the NES(MI), the project at Utah Avenue (Bridge #35C0101) was determined to potentially have unavoidable impacts on the federal threatened southern green sturgeon, southern green sturgeon designated critical habitat, and the federal candidate/state threatened longfin smelt. Because of this, additional efforts for species analysis, development team coordination, and documentation are required to address this species in the NES(MI) beyond what was scoped. This amendment is requested to recapture efforts conducted to date and to complete Caltrans approval of the NES(MI).



City of South San Francisco BPMP, South San Francisco, CA Additional Service Request No. 03 Biological Assessment, Section 7 Consultation & Permits for Utah Ave In-Water Work

> April 19, 2022 Page 3 of 8

Deliverable: Inclusion of evaluation of southern green sturgeon, longfin smelt, and southern green sturgeon critical habitat in the NES(MI).

Task 3.12: Additional Permitting Coordination for Utah @ Colma Creek

Additional services will be performed for Task 3.12: CDFW 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement / RWQCB 401 Permit / ACOE 401 Permit of the Environmental Studies Documentation / Permits (PE Funding Phase) of the SSF BPMP Project. Because of the required in-creek construction and special status species identified at the Utah Avenue (Bridge #35C0101) site, it is anticipated that the regulatory agencies will require a thorough accounting of all anticipated construction activities within their jurisdictions including a systematic sequencing of construction activities for both temporary and permanent construction elements, comprehensive list of required construction equipment, and development of detailed quantities breakdown to specify volume, and dimensions of all materials and features (e.g., creek earthwork, bridge pier and/or abutment concrete repair, temporary dewatering and stream diversion, rip rap fields, etc.) that will be used or installed within each of the regulatory agencies' jurisdiction. To facilitate this additional permitting coordination, the effort also includes the development of all additionally required permit exhibits (construction overview and sequencing plan, dewatering and/or stream diversion plan, fish relocation plan, list of construction equipment, breakdown of quantities, etc.) to provide a comprehensive description along with a visual representation and illustration of the proposed construction activities (equipment staging, work access, material stockpile areas, limits of grading (cut and fill), RSP, drainage outfall structures, piers, abutments and/or foundations, water diversions, work trestles, vegetation removal, etc.) to allow each of the regulatory agencies to determine the associated permit mitigation measures within their jurisdictions and issue permits for the project.

Deliverables: One electronic copy of additionally required permit exhibits

Task 3.13: National Marine Fisheries Service Section 7 Consultation

Federal Endangered Species Act Consultation:

Under the FESA, if the project may affect a National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) threatened or endangered species, designated critical habitat, or Essential Fish Habitat under the Magnussen Stevens Act, Section 7 consultation with NMFS is required. Based on project analysis during the preparation of the NES(MI), the project at Utah Avenue (Bridge #35C0101) may have effects on federally threatened southern green sturgeon and designated critical habitat for this species. Caltrans during their review of the NES(MI), requested a Biological Assessment be prepared for the project. This effort was not included in our contract scope of work and budget; therefore, this amendment to perform this additional work is required at this time.

The environmental consultant will prepare the Section 7 consultation initiation package, including a Biological Assessment for the project. We will coordinate with the City and Caltrans for review and submittal of the Section 7 consultation initiation package to NMFS. If requested, the environmental consultant's biologist will attend up to four internal phone meetings or NMFS coordination phone meetings held as part of the consultation process. It is assumed that additional field visits and/or



City of South San Francisco BPMP, South San Francisco, CA Additional Service Request No. 03 Biological Assessment, Section 7 Consultation & Permits for Utah Ave In-Water Work

> April 19, 2022 Page 4 of 8

coordination meetings with federal agency representatives will not be required to support completion of Section 7 consultation.

We will provide support to the City to streamline the FESA consultation process, including preparation of up to one supplemental information request from Caltrans or NMFS. We will also provide technical assistance to the City to refine impact avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures, as required by NMFS to reduce project impacts on NMFS FESA species, critical habitat, and or Essential Fish Habitat to the maximum extent feasible.

Deliverables: One electronic copy of the NMFS Biological Assessment

Task 3.14: Incidental Take Permit (Optional)

Following project-level surveys and technical analysis during the preparation of the NES(MI), the project at Utah Avenue (Bridge #35C0101) may have take of the state threatened longfin smelt. Under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA), if the project may result in the 'take' of a state threatened, endangered, or candidate species, consultation with the CDFW is required.

If requested, we will prepare an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) application and will coordinate with the City for review and submittal of the ITP application to CDFW. If requested, the environmental consultant's biologist will attend up to four internal phone meetings or CDFW coordination phone meetings held as part of the consultation process. We will provide support to the City to streamline the CESA consultation processes, including preparation of up to one supplemental information request by CDFW. We will also provide technical assistance to the City to refine impact avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures, as required by CDFW to reduce project impacts and potential for take of CESA species to the maximum extent feasible. It is assumed that the surveys and technical reports prepared for the project (NES[MI] and Aquatic Resource Delineation) will be sufficient to support CDFW's issuance of an ITP.

Deliverables: One electronic copy of the CESA consultation package.

ASSUMPTIONS

This scope has been prepared based on the following assumptions:

General

- All deliverables would be provided in electronic format (PDF or similar), and no hard copies would be required.
- The SOW assumes that the consultant would complete NEPA documentation and permitting for all nine bridge sites under one Federal Project Number and set of environmental documents and permits. If the City elects to separate out the bridge sites into one or more sets of projects in order to deliver some of the maintenance actions sooner than others, then the consultant would provide the associated environmental services under a revised scope of work and budget.
- The environmental consultant assumes 65% plans will be provided to complete the regulatory permitting with the RWQCB, USACE, and CDFW. Plans would include all work and/or features that may encroach, for any amount of time, within the jurisdictional areas.
- The environmental consultant assumes the project design team will provide the necessary design information to complete the regulatory permitting with the RWQCB, CDFW, and USACE. The limits of all temporary and permanent



April 19, 2022 Page 5 of 8

disturbances within the RWQCB, CDFW and USACE will be provided, including, but not limited to: equipment staging, work access, material stockpile areas, limits of grading (cut and fill), RSP, drainage outfall structures, piers, abutments and/or foundations, water diversions, work trestles (or similar), vegetation removal, etc. The project design team would provide general construction sequence descriptions of all activities that will be performed for each construction activity, including equipment usage to perform the required actions, within jurisdictional areas. Jurisdictional areas are expected to include the bed, channel, bank, and floodplain of a waterway and any associated wetland features. All materials, structures, and/or features that will temporarily or permanently encroach and impact environmental agencies jurisdiction will be identified and quantified (volumes, dimensions, linear feet). Approximate quantities and/or range thresholds are expected to be adequate to meet the regulatory requirements. Materials may include, but are not limited to: concrete/reinforced concrete, asphalt, RSP, earthen fill, gravel, steel, piles, drilling mud, materials to construct water diversion, etc.

- Up to three rounds of comments are anticipated, one from the design team, one from the City, and one from Caltrans, on each deliverable. If responses to additional comments are requested, the consultant will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.
- Protocol-level surveys for special-status species, if required, are not included in this scope of work. It is anticipated that potential for special-status plants and wildlife species can be inferred based on the surveys originally scoped for the project. If protocol surveys are requested, the consultant will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.

Project Management

A maximum of 10 additional months of Project Management will be required for the NEPA phase

NEPA

• Requirement of a Biological Opinion is assumed to not affect the level of NEPA documentation. A CE will continue to be the appropriate level of NEPA documentation. If during project development or completion of environmental investigations, is it identified that the project would not qualify for a CE, an additional scope of work and budget will be provided to support a higher-level of documentation.

NES(MI)

• No additional field visits are anticipated to be necessary for completion NES(MI).

National Marine Fisheries Service Section 7 Consultation:

- Based on the technical analysis prepared to date, potential effects on southern green sturgeon and southern green sturgeon designated critical habitat is assumed to be limited to Colma Creek Bridge at Utah Avenue.
- This scope of work includes consultation with NMFS for southern green sturgeon and southern green sturgeon designated critical habitat. If additional species and/or consultation with the United Stated Fish and Wildlife Service is required, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.
- It is assumed that additional field visits with agency representatives will not be required to support completion of Section 7 consultation. If additional field visits or coordination meetings are required, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.



April 19, 2022 Page 6 of 8

It is assumed the federal candidate longfin smelt will not be elevated beyond a candidate species and will not require coverage under Section 7 of the Federal Endangered Species Act.

Incidental Take Permit:

- Based on the technical analysis prepared to date, potential take of longfin smelt is assumed to be limited to Colma Creek Bridge at Utah Avenue. Therefore, this scope of work includes one incidental take permit to authorize the impacts on longfin smelt associated with Colma Creek Bridge at Utah Avenue. If permitting is requested or required to authorize work at additional bridge locations and/or within additional aquatic features, the consultant will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.
- This scope of work includes consultation with CDFW for longfin smelt. If consultation for additional species is required, the consultant will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.
- All fees and/or compensatory mitigation associated with the incidental take permit will be paid by the City.
- It is assumed that additional field visits with agency representatives will not be required to support completion CESA consultation. If additional field visits or coordination meetings are required, the consultant will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.
- It is assumed that the technical reports and environmental documents prepared for the project (NES[MI] and Aquatic Resources Delineation Report) will be sufficient to support CDFW's issuance of an ITP for the project. If additional biological investigations would be required to support an ITP, the consultant will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.

III. ASR No. 3 – FEE BREAKDOWN

The additional services requested for this Task Order includes the following subtask effort and hourly estimated breakdown (see Attachment 1 – Additional Services Request No.3_Fee Breakdown).

Task 3: ENVIRONMENATAL STUDIES DOCUMENTATION / PERMITS

- Subtask 3.2: NEPA Approval: Updated PES, Field Review Meeting, NEPA CE Support
 - o 3.2.4: Update PES Form [ASR 3]
 - o 3.2.5: NEPA CE Support (OPTIONAL) [ASR 3]
- Subtask 3.3: Natural Environment Study/Minimal Impact (NESMI) & Wetland Delineation and Assessment
 - o 3.3.3 Biological Resources NES (MI) Report [ASR 3]
- Subtask 3.12: CDFW 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement / RWQCB 401 Permit / ACOE
 401 Permit
 - o 3.12.2 Additional Permitting for Utah Ave @ Colma Creek [ASR 3]
- Subtask 3.13: National Marine Fisheries Service Section 7 Consultation [ASR 3]
- Subtask 3.14: Incidental Take Permit (Optional) [ASR 3]

Biggs Cardosa Associates, Inc. is therefore submitting herein our fee proposal for additional services to incorporate a Biological Assessment, Section 7 Consultation and associated additional permit coordination anticipated for the Utah Ave in-water work as follows.



Biological Assessment, Section 7 Consultation & Permits for Utah Ave In-Water Work

April 19, 2022 Page 7 of 8

EXHIBIT 10-H1

					Cost Proposal
	EXHIBIT 10-H1 COST PRO	-			
ACTUAL COST-P	LUS-FIXED FEE OR LUMP SUM (DESIGN, ENGINEERING AND ENVIR			ITRACTS	
Note: Mark-ups are Not Allowed			<i>'</i>		2-1 Ti Cul
Consultant Biggs Cardosa Associates, Inc.	X Prime Consultant	Ц	Subconsultant	Ц	2nd Tier Subconsultant
Project No. 12508-2020	Contract No. SSF ST1703 - ASR N	No. 3		Date	4/19/2022
DIRECT LABOR					
Classification/Title	Name		hours	Actual Hourly Rate	Total
Principal	Ron Oen*		26	\$110.00	\$2,860.00
Associate	various			\$90.00	\$0.00
Engineering Manager	various			\$74.00	\$0.00
Senior Engineer	various			\$64.00	\$0.00
Project Engineer	various		80	\$55.00	\$4,400.00
Staff Engineer	various			\$48.00	\$0.00
Assistant Engineer	various			\$42.00	\$0.00
Junior Engineer	various			\$37.00	\$0.00
Senior Computer Drafter	various		24	\$50.00	\$1,200.00
Computer Drafter	various			\$30.00	\$0.00
Administrative Services	various		10	\$40.00	\$400.00
LABOR COSTS				30	
a) Subtotal Direct Labor Costs				\$8,860.00	
b) Anticipated Salary Increases (see page 2 for sample)				\$443.00	
INDIRECT COSTS		c) TOTA	L DIRECT LA	BOR COSTS [(a) + (b)]	\$9,303.00
d) Fringe Benefits (Rate:	28.34%	a) Total l	Fringe Benefits		
a) Tinge Beliefits (Ruie.		c) Istair	[(c) x (d)]	\$2,636.47	
Overhead	(Rate: 0.00%) g) Ove	rhead [(c) x (f)]		
h) General and Administrative	(Rate: 139.79%		.dmin [(c) x (h)]		
ny seneral and rummistative	(135.7570		[(*) / (11)]	\$15,00 1100	
		j) T (i	otal Indirect	Costs [(e) + (g) + (i)]	\$15,641.13
FIXED FEE		3/		() () () [
q) (Rate: 10.00%)	k) TO	OTAL FIXED P	PROFIT [(c) + (j)] x (q)]	\$2,494.41
	<u>-</u> -			,	
1) CONSULTANT'S OTHER DIRECT COSTS (ODC) - I	TEMIZE (Add additional pages if ne	ecessary)			
Description o	f Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total
Postage and Delivery Cost (Supported by Const		0	Each	\$76.00	\$0.00
Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actua		0	Each	\$50.00	\$0.00
Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Suppo	rted by Consultant Actual Costs)	0	Each	\$25.00	\$0.00
			I) TOTAL OT	HER DIRECT COSTS	\$0.00
m) SUBCONSUTLANTS' COSTS (Add additional pages	• *				
Subconsultant 1:	НМН		1	\$7,284	\$7,284.00
Subconsultant 2:	GPA		1	\$77,867	\$77,867.00
Subconsultant 3:					\$0.00
Subconsultant 4:					\$0.00
		m) T	OTAL SUBCO	NSULTANTS' COSTS	\$85,151.00
	n) TOTAL OTHER DIRECT CO	STS INCLUDIN	G SUBCONS	SULTANTS [(I) + (m)]	\$85,151
			TOTAL COS	$\Gamma[(c) + (j) + (k) + (n)]$	\$112,590
NOTES:			TOTAL COS	· ((<) · () · (K) · (II)]	\$112,390
Key personnel <u>must</u> be marked with an as	sterisk (*) and employees that are	e subject to prev	ailing wage r	equirements must be	marked
with two asterisks (**). All cost must comply w				•	
 The cost proposal format shall not be amended. 		-			
constulant's annual accounting period and estab	•				
 Anticipated salary increases calculation (page 2) must accompany.	-			
. , , , ,	• •				

Local Assistance Procedures Manual

April 19, 2022 Page 8 of 8

Proposed Additional Budget

The role of BCA is the prime and structures consultant, the role of HMH is the civil, traffic, water quality and survey subconsultant, and the role of GPA is the environmental subconsultant. We estimate that the additional budget required to perform the extra work associated with Additional Service Request No. 03 to incorporate a Biological Assessment, Section 7 Consultation and associated additional permit coordination anticipated for the Utah Ave in-water work is as follows:

➤ Additional Services Request No. 3

	TOTAL ADDITIONAL PROPOSED BUDGET	\$112,590.00
0	<u>GPA</u>	\$77,867.00
0	HMH	\$7,284.00
0	BCA	\$27,439.00

If approved, the additional budget of \$112,590.00 for SSF BPMP Additional Services Request No. 3 will be added to the currently negotiated and approved budget as follows.

Design Services (PE Funding Phase) Proposed Budget	\$344,256.00
SSF BPMP ASR No. 3	\$112,590.00
1 st Amendment SSF BPMP (05/10/2021)	\$ 31,666.00
Original SSF BPMP Contract Agreement (10/14/2020)	\$200,000.00

We look forward to working with you on this project. Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me on my cell phone at (408) 781-4549, or by email at roen@biggscardosa.com.

Enclosure:

Attachment 1 – Additional Services Request No.3_Fee Breakdown 04/19/22

Sincerely,

BIGGS CARDOSA ASSOCIATES, INC.

Ron Oen, PE, QSD

Principal



Attachment 1 -	Additional Service	es Request No.3_	_Fee Breakdown (04/19/202

			Е	BCA				Н	МН								GPA ((DBE)								
CITY OF SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO BPMP (ST 1703)	Project	Management	t, Prime Co	nsultant & S	Structural E	ngineering	Civil, Tra	affic, Survey,	& Utility Coo	ordination						Enviro	nmental Clea	arance & Per	rmitting							i l
Engineering and Design Services		T	ည္မ		<u>.</u>				=		0 0 <u>m</u>	<u> </u>	aint	<u>a</u>	e la		gist	90	s				<u>a</u>			
Estimate of Labor Effort (ASR No. 3)	al-in-	ering	ate - (_ i	Drafte	istrativ	sering	Civil	ant Civ	Land	Fodarc sociate nment	dez nment	ine Sc nment	/era ate nment	ate ate nment	der ate	ire Biolog	n Oroz ist	: Grigg	Suon	Mayo	Rose GIS	a wo ate nment		Hour	Бе
19-Apr-	Charg	Engine	Associ	Projec	Senior	Admin	Sivil Engine Manag	Senior	Assista	Senior Surve)	Ryan T Sr. Ass Enviro	Maria Sernar Senior Enviro	Sather Senior Enviro	Riley \ Associ Enviro Planne	Noeli T Associ Enviro Planne	Mariek Schrac Senior Associ Siolog	Angela Scudie Senior	izbetł	Patrick	Sory G	Mario Siolog	Martin Senior Analys	Jessica Nwank Associ Enviro	5	Total	Total
WBS DESIGN SERVICES (PE FUNDING PHASE)																										
Task 1 Project Management & Caltrans Contract Management Assistance 1.1 Project Administration/ Budgeting/ Cost Accounting																									0	60
1.2 Caltrans Local Assistance Support (ROW Cert & Con E-76)																									0	\$0 \$0
Project Schedule Monthly Project Meetings/Agency Coordination (4 PDT Mtgs assumed)																									0	\$0 \$0
Subtot	tal 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Task 2 Preliminary Engineering Studies / Suevey and Mapping / Utility Coordination																									_	
Data Gathering, Document Review, and Structure Field Investigations Surveys and Mapping																									0	\$0 \$0
2.3 Utility Coordination																									0	\$0
2.4 Prepare Preliminary Design Submittal (35% Plans and Estimate)								_			2	•		0		•								2	0	\$0
Subtot	tal 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Task 3 Environmental Studies Documentation / Permits 3.1 CEQA Determination and Filing																									0	\$0
3.1 CEQA Determination and Filing 3.2 NEPA Approval	+		1	1			1			1	1	†	1							1	+	1			0	\$0
3.2.1 Update PES Form [Amend																									0	\$0
3.2.2 Field Review Meeting [Amend 3.2.3 NEPA CE Support (Optional) [Amend	-11																								0	\$0 \$0
3.2.3 NEPA CE Support (Optional) [Amend 3.2.4 Update PES [ASR		1	1	8	+	2	1	1			16	16	4		12		4		1	+	+	+	4	 	68	\$9,111
3.2.5 NEPA CE Support (Optional) [ASR	3] 2			8		2					4	4		16	_										36	\$4,676
3.3 Natural Environment Study/Minimal Impact (NESMI) & Wetland Delineation and Assessment	41		-	-								<u> </u>								1	1	1		1	0	\$0 \$0
3.3.1 Additional NES/MI for N Access @ San Bruno (35C0046) [Amend 3.3.2 Additional Aquatic Resources Delineation for N Access @ San Bruno (35C0046) [Amend																									0	\$0 \$0
3.3.3 Biological Resources NES (MI) Report [ASR				8		2					2					4	24	16		16			2		76	\$8,408
3.4 Equipment Staging & Tech Memo																									0	\$0
3.5 Traffic Tech Memo 3.6 Air Quality																									0	\$0 \$0
3.7 Hazardous Materials / Hazardous Waste Tech Memo																									0	\$0
3.8 Water Quality Assessment Report																									0	\$0
3.9 Location Hydraulic Study 3.10 Summary Flood Plain Encroachment Report																									0	\$0 \$0
3.11 NPDES Permit																									0	\$0
3.12 CDFW 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement / RWQCB 401 Permit / ACOE 401 Permit																									0	\$0
3.12.1 Additional Permitting for N Access @ San Bruno (35C0046) [Amend 3.12.2 Additional Permitting Coordination for Utah Ave @ Colma Creek [ASR				40	24		8	16	16															-	0 120	\$0 \$23,264
3.13 National Marine Fisheries Service Section 7 Consultation [ASR 3]	2			8	24	2	0	10	10		8					40	112	100	100			36	4		412	\$42,133
3.14 Incidental Take Permit (Optional) [ASR 3]	2			8		2					4					16	52	60			60	36	4		244	\$24,997
Subtot	tal 26	0	0	80	24	10	8	16	16	0	34	20	4	16	12	60	192	176	100	16	60	72	14	0	956	\$112,590
Task 4 Final Design																									0	* 0
4.1 Prepare Draft Design Submittal (65% PS&E) 4.1.1 Prepare Draft Design Submittal (65% PS&E) 65% PS&E for Additional Services Request #1 [Amend]	11																								0	\$0 \$0
4.2 Independent QA/QC Check of 65% PS&E Submittal	1																								0	\$0
4.2.1 Independent QA/QC Check for Additional Services Request #1 [Amend	1]															-			1			1]	0	\$0
4.3 Prepare Pre-Final Design Submittal (95% PS&E) 4.4 Prepare Final Design Submittal (100% PS&E)	+	-	1	1		1	1			1			1							1	+	1		1	0	\$0 \$0
Subtot	tal 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Task 5 Bid and Award Support																										
5.1 Addressing Bid Inquiries and Preparing Addenda																									0	\$0
5.2 Prepare Conform Set of Construction Documents and Technical Specifications Subtot	tal 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0
Project Total Lab		0		80	24	10	0	16	16	0	34	20	4	16	12		192	176	100	16	60	72	14	0	956	\$112,590
Total Hours Per Consulta		U	0	140	24	10	ð		40	U	34	20	4	10	12	60	192		100	10	60	12	14	U	956 956	\$112,590
DIRECT EXPENSES																										
Plotting, Printing, and Postage																										\$0
Travel (Mileage) Travel (Meals)	+						<u> </u>]		\$0 *0
Travel (Meals) Travel (Lodging)																										\$0 \$0
Project Total Reimbursable Expense	es			\$0					\$0								\$	60								\$0
WBS DESIGN SERVICES (PE FUNDING PHASE)																										
Task 1: Project Management & Caltrans Contract Management Assistance				\$0 \$0					\$0 \$0									0								\$0 *0
Task 2: Preliminary Engineering Studies / Suevey and Mapping / Utility Coordination Task 3: Environmental Studies Documentation / Permits				\$0 7,439					,284								\$77,	.867								\$0 \$112,590
Task 4: Final Design				\$0				(\$0								\$	0								\$0
Task 5: Bid and Award Support				\$0					\$0								\$									\$0
Total Project Fee Per Consulta DBE PARTICIPATION PERCENTAGE			\$2	7,439				\$7	,284								\$77 _.									\$112,590
FEE APPLICABLE TO E-76 PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING (PE) FUNDIN																	69.1	16%								\$112,590
FEE AFFLICABLE TO E-70 PRELIMINANT ENGINEERING (PE) FUNDIN	U																									\$112,59U

Local Assistance Procedures Manual EXHIBIT 10-H1 Cost Proposal

EXHIBIT 10-H1 COST PROPOSAL Page 1 of 3 ACTUAL COST-PLUS-FIXED FEE OR LUMP SUM (FIRM FIXED PRICE) CONTRACTS (DESIGN, ENGINEERING AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES)

Consultant	ups are Not Allowed	✗ Prime Consultant		Subconsultant		2nd Tier Subconsultant
	Biggs Cardosa Associates, Inc.	_				
	12508-2020	Contract No. SSF ST1703 - ASR No.	o. 3		Date	4/19/2022
DIRECT LA		1				
	Classification/Title	Name		hours	Actual Hourly Rate	Total
	Principal	Ron Oen*		26	\$110.00	\$2,860.00
	Associate	various			\$90.00	\$0.00
	Engineering Manager	various			\$74.00	\$0.00
	Senior Engineer	various			\$64.00	\$0.00
	Project Engineer	various		80	\$55.00	\$4,400.00
	Staff Engineer	various			\$48.00	\$0.00
	Assistant Engineer	various			\$42.00	\$0.00
	Junior Engineer	various			\$37.00	\$0.00
	Senior Computer Drafter	various		24	\$50.00	\$1,200.00
	Computer Drafter	various			\$30.00	\$0.00
	Administrative Services	various		10	\$40.00	\$400.00
LABOR CO					30	
*	Direct Labor Costs				\$8,860.00	
b) Anticipat	ed Salary Increases (see page 2 for sample)				\$443.00	
DIDECT	COSTS		c) TOTAI	DIRECT LAF	BOR COSTS [(a) + (b)]	\$9,303.00
d) Fringe Be		28.34%	a) Total I	ringe Benefits		
d) Tillige Be	Cherits (Rate.		e) Iotal I	[(c) x (d)]	\$2,636.47	
Overhead	4	(Rate: 0.00%) a) Ova		\$2,030.47	
		(Rate: 0.00% (Rate: 139.79%		rhead [(c) x (f)]	\$13,004.66	
n) General a	and Administrative	(Rate: 139./9%) 1) Gen & A	dmin [(c) x (h)]	\$13,004.00	
			i) T (stal Indirect (Costs [(e) + (g) + (i)]	\$15,641.13
FIXED FEE	7		J) 10	nai iliuli ect c	Justs [(e) + (g) + (i)]	\$15,041.15
q) (Rate:	10.00%)	k) T(TAI FIYED P	ROFIT [(c) + (j)] x (q)]	\$2,494.41
q) (Nate.	10.0070	- /	K) IV	JIAL I IALD I	(4)] x (4)]	\$2,777.71
D CONSUL	TANT'S OTHER DIRECT COSTS (ODC) - IT	FMIZE (Add additional pages if nece	esarv)			
1,001.002	Description of		Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total
	Description of	Ttem.		Cint	CIII COST	101111
			- ,			
	Postage and Delivery Cost (Supported by Consul	Itant Actual Costs)	0	Each	\$76.00	\$0.00
	Postage and Delivery Cost (Supported by Consultant Actual		0	Each Each	\$76.00 \$50.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual	Costs)	0	Each	\$50.00	\$0.00
		Costs)				
	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual	Costs)	0	Each Each	\$50.00 \$25.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual	Costs)	0	Each Each	\$50.00	\$0.00
m) SURCO	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support	Costs) ded by Consultant Actual Costs)	0	Each Each	\$50.00 \$25.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
m) SUBCO!	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support NSUTLANTS' COSTS (Add additional pages if	Costs) ted by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary)	0	Each Each	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
m) SUBCO!	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support NSUTLANTS' COSTS (Add additional pages if Subconsultant 1:	Costs) ted by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary) HMH	0	Each Each	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00
m) SUBCO!	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support NSUTLANTS' COSTS (Add additional pages if Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 2:	Costs) ted by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary)	0	Each Each	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00
m) SUBCO!	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support NSUTLANTS' COSTS (Add additional pages if Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 2: Subconsultant 3:	Costs) ted by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary) HMH	0	Each Each	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00
m) SUBCO!	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support NSUTLANTS' COSTS (Add additional pages if Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 2:	Costs) ted by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary) HMH	0	Each Each I) TOTAL OT	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
m) SUBCO!	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support NSUTLANTS' COSTS (Add additional pages if Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 2: Subconsultant 3:	Costs) ted by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary) HMH	0	Each Each I) TOTAL OT	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00
m) SUBCO!	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support NSUTLANTS' COSTS (Add additional pages if Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 2: Subconsultant 3:	Costs) ded by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary) HMH GPA	0 0 m) T	Each Each I) TOTAL OT 1 1 1 OTAL SUBCO	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$85,151.00
m) SUBCO!	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support NSUTLANTS' COSTS (Add additional pages if Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 2: Subconsultant 3:	Costs) ted by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary) HMH	m) To	Each Each I) TOTAL OT 1 1 1 OTAL SUBCO	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867 NSULTANTS' COSTS ULTANTS [(I) + (m)]	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$85,151.00
	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support Support Costs - Prints (Support Costs - Prints (Suppor	Costs) ded by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary) HMH GPA	m) To	Each Each I) TOTAL OT 1 1 1 OTAL SUBCO	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$85,151.00
NOTES:	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support Support Costs - Prints (Support Costs - Prints (Suppor	Costs) led by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary) HMH GPA n) TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COS	m) To	Each Each I) TOTAL OT 1 1 1 OTAL SUBCO	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867 NSULTANTS' COSTS ULTANTS [(I) + (m)]	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$85,151.00 \$85,151
	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support Support Suppor	Costs) led by Consultant Actual Costs) f necessary) HMH GPA n) TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSterisk (*) and employees that are sterisk (*)	m) To	Each Each I) TOTAL OT I I OTAL SUBCO G SUBCONS TOTAL COST ailing wage re	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867 NSULTANTS' COSTS ULTANTS [(I) + (m)] T [(c) + (j) + (k) + (n)] equirements must be a	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$85,151.00 \$85,151
NOTES:	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support Support Suppor	r necessary) HMH GPA n) TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COS terisk (*) and employees that are s th the Federal cost principles. Subconsul	m) To	Each Each I) TOTAL OT I I OTAL SUBCO G SUBCONS TOTAL COST ailling wage ree their own cost	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867 NSULTANTS' COSTS ULTANTS [(I) + (m)] [(c) + (j) + (k) + (n)] equirements must be a	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$85,151.00 \$85,151
NOTES:	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 2: Subconsultant 3: Subconsultant 4: Key personnel must be marked with an ast with two asterisks (**). All cost must comply wi The cost proposal format shall not be amended. I	costs) Index by Consultant Actual Costs Index by Consultant Actual Costs) Index by Consultant Actual Costs Index by Consultant Actual Costs) Index by Consultant Actual Costs Ind	m) To	Each Each I) TOTAL OT I I OTAL SUBCO G SUBCONS TOTAL COST ailling wage ree their own cost	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867 NSULTANTS' COSTS ULTANTS [(I) + (m)] [(c) + (j) + (k) + (n)] equirements must be a	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$85,151.00 \$85,151
NOTES: 1. 2.	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 2: Subconsultant 3: Subconsultant 4: Key personnel must be marked with an ast with two asterisks (**). All cost must comply with the cost proposal format shall not be amended. I constulant's annual accounting period and establiance.	recessary) HMH GPA n) TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COS terisk (*) and employees that are seth the Federal cost principles. Subconsul nderect cost rates shall be updated on an shed by a cognizant agency or accepted	m) To	Each Each I) TOTAL OT I I OTAL SUBCO G SUBCONS TOTAL COST ailling wage ree their own cost	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867 NSULTANTS' COSTS ULTANTS [(I) + (m)] [(c) + (j) + (k) + (n)] equirements must be a	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$85,151.00 \$85,151
NOTES:	Travel/Mileage (Supported by Consultant Actual Repoduction and Printing Costs - Prints (Support Subconsultant 1: Subconsultant 2: Subconsultant 3: Subconsultant 4: Key personnel must be marked with an ast with two asterisks (**). All cost must comply wi The cost proposal format shall not be amended. I	recessary) HMH GPA n) TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COS terisk (*) and employees that are seth the Federal cost principles. Subconsul nderect cost rates shall be updated on an shed by a cognizant agency or accepted	m) To	Each Each I) TOTAL OT I I OTAL SUBCO G SUBCONS TOTAL COST ailling wage ree their own cost	\$50.00 \$25.00 HER DIRECT COSTS \$7,284 \$77,867 NSULTANTS' COSTS ULTANTS [(I) + (m)] [(c) + (j) + (k) + (n)] equirements must be a	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$7,284.00 \$77,867.00 \$0.00 \$85,151.00 \$85,151

Local Assistance Procedures Manual EXHIBIT 10-H1

Cost Proposal

EXHIBIT 10-H1 COST PROPOSAL Page 2 of 3 ACTUAL COST-PLUS-FIXED FEE OR LUMP SUM (FIRM FIXED PRICE) CONTRACTS

(SAMPLE CALCULATIONS FOR ANTICIPATED SALARY INCREASES)

1. Calculate Average Hourly Rate for 1st year of the contract (Direct Labor Subtotal divided by total hours)

Direct Labor Subtotal	Total Hours		Avg Hourly	1 Year Contract
per Cost Proposal	per Cost Proposal		Rate	Duration
\$8,860.00	140	=	\$63.29	D. A.

2. Calculate hourly rate for all years (Increase the Average Hourly Rate for a year by proposed escalation %)

	Avg Hourly Rate		Proposed Escalation			
Year 1	\$63.29	+	5.0%	=	\$66.45	Poto
Year 2	\$66.45	+	5.0%	=	\$69.77	Pota 3 Avg nouny
Year 3	\$69.77	+	5.0%	=	\$73.26	Peta 4 Avg mourry
Year 4	\$73.26	+	5.0%	=	\$76.92	Peta J Avg nouny

3. Calculate estimated hours per year (Multiply estimate % each year by total hours)

	Estimated %		Total Hours		Total Hours	
	Completed Each Year		per Cost Proposal		per Year	
Year 1	0.00%	*	140.0	=	0.0	Estimated Hours Year 1
Year 2	100.00%	*	140.0	=	140.0	Estimated Hours Year 2
Year 3	0.00%	*	140.0	=	0.0	Estimated Hours Year 3
Year 4	0.00%	*	140.0	=	0.0	Estimated Hours Year 4
Year 5	0.00%	*	140.0	=	0.0	Estimated Hours Year 5
Total	100%		Total	=	140.0	

4. Calculate Total Costs including Escalation (Multiply Average Hourly Rate by the number of hours)

	Avg Hourly Rate (calculated above)		Estimated hours (calculated above)		Cost per Year	
Year 1	\$63.29	*	0	=	\$0.00	Estimated Hours Year 1
Year 2	\$66.45	*	140	=	\$9,303.00	Estimated Hours Year 2
Year 3	\$69.77	*	0	=	\$0.00	Estimated Hours Year 3
Year 4	\$73.26	*	0	=	\$0.00	Estimated Hours Year 4
Year 5	\$76.92	*	0	=	\$0.00	Estimated Hours Year 5
	•	Total Direct Labo	or Cost with Escalation	=	\$9,303.00	
Direct Labor Subtotal before Escalation					\$8,860.00	
	Estimat	ed total of Direct	Labor Salary Increase	=	\$443.00	Transfer to Page 1

NOTES:

- 1. This is not the only way to estimate salary increases. Other methods will be accepted if they clearly indicate the % increase, the # of years of the contract, and a breakdown of the labor to be performed each year.
- 2. An estimation that is based on direct labor multiplied by salary increase % multiplied by the # of years is not acceptable.
 - (i.e. $$250,000 \times 2\% \times 5 \text{ yrs} = $25,000 \text{ is not an acceptable methodology})$
- 3. This assumes that one year will be worked at the rate on the cost proposal before salary increases are granted.
- 4. Calculations for anticipated salary escalation must be provided.

Local Assistance Procedures Manual EXHIBIT 10-H1
Cost Proposal

EXHIBIT 10-H1 COST PROPOSAL Page 3 of 3

Certification of Direct Costs:

I, the undersigned, ceritfy to the best of my knowledge and belief that all direct costs identified on the cost proposal(s) in this contract are actual, reasonable, allowable, and allocable to the contract in accordance with the contract terms and the following requirements:

- 1. General Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)
- 2. Terms and conditions of the contract

Prime Consultant or Subconsultant Certifying:

- 3. <u>Title 23 United States Code Section 112</u> Letting of Contracts
- 4. 48 Code of Federal Regulations Part 31 Contract Cost Principles and Proceedures
- 5. 23 Code of Federal Regulations Part 172 Procurement, Management, and Administration of Engineering and Design Related Services
- 6. 48 Code of Federal Regulations Part 9904 Costs Accounting Standards Board (when applicable)

All costs must be applied consistently and fairly to all contracts. All documentation of compliance must be retained in the project files and be in compliance with applicable federal and state requirements. Costs that are noncompliant with the federal and state requirements are not eligible for reimbursement.

Local governments are responsible for applying only cognizant agency or Caltrans accepted Indirect Cost Rate(s)

Name:	Ron Oen	Title*:	Principal	
Signature:	Rand 14/2		Date of Certification (mm/dd/yyyy):	4/19/2022
Email:	roen@biggscardosa.com	Phone Number:	(408) 296-5515	
Address:	865 The Alameda, San Jose, CA 95126			
	*An individual executive or financial officer of the consultant's o Vice President or a Chief Financial Officer, or equivelant, who have utilized to establish the cost proposal for the contract.			
	List of services the consultant is providing under the proposed co	ntract:		
	ADDITIONAL SERVICES REQUEST No. 3 - additional scopermit coordination anticipated for the Utah Ave in-water wo		l Assessment, Section 7 Consultation and ass	sociated additional