Affordable Housing Financing Plan (AHFP)



City Council
May 14, 2025

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends City Council consider and provide feedback on the proposed Affordable Housing Financing Plan (AHFP).

Updated every two years, the AHFP will guide the City's housing funding priorities

Plan Goals

- Outlines housing funding priorities (every other year)
- Create three financing pathways: expected, surplus, and limited funding scenarios
- Provide implementation support for the City's Housing Element and General Plan
- Provide strategies to stabilize housing fund revenue across fiscal years

Background

- City Council Study Session in 2021 and Housing Standing Committee in February 2025
- Need to strategically align affordable housing priorities with actual spending
- Growing need for financial strategy following creation of the Commercial Linkage Fee in 2018
 - Commercial Linkage Fee generates considerable but fluctuating revenue
 - State/Federal regulatory requirements and funding programs are becoming stricter and harder to access



Housing Element Alignment

- Every project targeted for funding cites the Housing Element policy it furthers
- Many programs such as our nonprofit housing programs are required by our Housing Element (AFFH requirements)
- Plan provides pathways for various financial scenarios to meet Housing Element obligations





Plan Structure

Research Conducted

Seattle Housing Levy Administrative and Financial Plan

- Biennial plan managing funding commitments to projects and programs.
- Established by ordinance in 2023 to guide spending of the City's \$970M Housing Levy funded by property tax levies
- Provides guidelines for administering loans and grants
- Sets administrative policy and includes reporting requirements

San Francisco Affordable Housing Funding and Financing Recommendations Report

- Mayor directive to the Housing Leadership Council and Housing Office to develop a funding strategy to assist implementation of the City's 2022 Housing Element Update
- Provides policy recommendations for increasing funding and making existing funding more efficient.
- Outlines funding availability and funding projections.
- Does not set policy or include reporting requirements



Plan Components

Funding Availability

Funding and Policy Parameters

Two Year Expected Funding Plan

Surplus/Limited Scenario Pathways



FY24-25 Projected Year End Fund Balances

Fund Source	Projected FY24-25 Year End Balance (approximate)		
Commercial Linkage (823)		\$14,000,000	
Affordable Housing Trust Fund	l (205)	\$200,000	
LMI Housing Asset Fund (241)		\$1,000,000	



Grant Award Funding Availability

Grant	Total Award	Allocated Funds	Unallocated Funds
CDBG (FY24-25)	\$424,978	\$424,252	\$726
PLHA Year 1	\$217,980	\$217,980	\$0
PLHA Year 2	\$338,809	\$338,809	\$0
PLHA Year 3	\$338,000	\$0	\$372,500
LHTF	\$2,362,500	\$0	\$2,362,500
IIG	\$28,817,500	\$28,817,500	\$0
PIP	\$890,000	\$0	\$890,000



Funding and Policy Parameters I

- Must further Housing Element / General Plan priorities
- Factors legal obligations
- State/Federal grants are often prescriptive with many administrative requirements
 - Local Match Requirement (PIP, LHTF)
 - Meeting precise definitions of terms like "Infill" or "National Objective" (IIG, CDBG)
 - Expenditure Deadlines (all)
- Local funds generally flexible



Funding and Policy Parameters II

- Incorporates market conditions & risk factors → conservative funding targets
- Considers year-to-year fluctuations
- Does NOT set new policy; implements existing policy
- Does provide recommendations
- Annual review but no monitoring requirement; relies on existing requirements set by guiding policies





Funding Plan: Production Targets

Program	Funding Source	Funding Target	Population /Geograph y		
Financing For Developer- Led Affordable Projects	1051 Mission: LHTF, IIG, 823 500 and 522 Linden: PLHA Y1&2, 241	\$37,500,000	Citywide	J	1051 Mission, 500 & 522 Linden
Regulatory / Zoning Updates	Planning fees. HCD also releases grants	NA	Citywide	Goal 2 & Goal 3	Zoning and policy updates



Funding Plan: Preservation Targets

Program		Funding Target	Population / Geography	1	Projects
				Program	
Preserve	CDBG	\$80,000	Communities	Program	718 Linden rehabilitation
Housing &			with high	PRSV	
Communiti			displacemen	1.2	
es			t risk		
Anti-	270,	\$415,000	Communities	Program	Community Advisory
Displaceme	823, PBF		with high	EQ-3.2	Committee, SRO / Mobile
nt Roadmap			displacemen		Home Conversion
			t risk		Ordinances, Live/Work
					Preference Ordinance



Funding Plan: Protection Targets

Program	Funding	Funding Target	Population/	HE Goal /	Projects
	Source		Geography	Program	
Emergency	241	\$180,000	(E)LI	Program	Emergency rental assistance
Rental		annually	households	EQ-8.5	(administered by YMCA)
Assistance					
Homelessnes	205	\$100,000	Experiencing	Programs	Emergency shelter, legal aid,
s / prevention	(funded	annually	/ at-risk of	SNP 7.2,	shelter, home sharing
programs	by PIP)		homelessne	7.4, 8.3	
			SS		
Housing &	PLHA	PLHA Y3:	LMI	Goal 1	Homeowner assistance,
Community	Y3,	\$149,000	households		youth mentoring, oral health,
Development	General	HOME: \$12,600			tenant legal aid
Services	Fund	. ,			
		General Fund:			
		\$41,500			
Economic	PLHA Y3	PLHA Y3:	LMI	Goal 1 and	EAC + associated nonprofits
Advancement		\$223,500	households	Goal 6	
Center (EAC)		CDBG: \$50,000			



Funding Plan Council Actions

Resolutions re-allocating 201 Baden LHTF funds → 1051 Mission

Resolution appropriating \$890,000 from Fund 823 to Fund 205 → receive \$890,000 match from the State (PIP) Public Hearing on CDBG
PY25-26 Annual Action
Plan → fund public
services and public
improvement projects

Resolution amending PLHA Year 3 → EAC

Today 28 May 28 May 11 June

Alternate 1: Limited Financing Pathway

Evaluation Criteria

- 1) State and Federal statutory requirements
- 2) Regulatory and grant obligations
- 3) Highest impact for disadvantaged and historically underserved communities
- 4) Project viability and delivery
- 5) Highest impact on housing priorities in General Plan and Housing Element

Example Actions

- Reduce property acquisitions and city-led financing programs
- Reduce non-obliged funding to non-profit housing services
- Explore the creation of new funding sources
- Evaluate necessity for proposing funding allocation from non-housing funds



Alternate 2: Surplus Financing Pathway

Evaluation Criteria

- Highest impact for disadvantaged and historically under-represented communities
- 2) Project viability and delivery
- 3) Highest impact on housing priorities in General Plan and Housing Element, with consideration of balancing funding across the 3Ps

Example Actions

- Pursue rehabilitation of 226-246 Grand Avenue
- Create a revolving loan and/or reserve fund that could help stabilize annual funding availability
- Consider policies of interest to City Council



Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends City Council consider and provide feedback on the proposed Affordable Housing Financing Plan (AHFP).

Updated every two years, the AHFP will guide the City's housing funding priorities