ATTACHMENT C

CULTURAL RESOURCES RECORD SEARCHES AND TRIBAL CONTACT



HUMBOLDT LAKE MARIN MENDOCINO MONTEREY NAPA SAN BENITO SAN FRANCISCO SAN MATEO SANTA CLATA SANTA CRUZ SOLANO SONOMA YOLO

Northwest Information Center

Sonoma State University 150 Professional Center Drive, Suite E Rohnert Park, California 94928-3609 Tel: 707.588.8455 nwic@sonoma.edu http://www.sonoma.edu/nwic

June 19, 2017 NWIC File No.: 16-1978

Rebecca Auld, Senior Planner Lamphier-Gregory, Inc. 1944 Embarcadero Oakland, CA 94606

Re: Record search results for the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update and Details for Phases II, III, and IV project

Dear Ms. Auld:

Per your request received by our office on June 8, 2017, a records search was conducted for the above referenced project by reviewing pertinent Northwest Information Center (NWIC) base maps that reference cultural resources records and reports, historic-period maps, and literature for San Mateo County. Please note that use of the term cultural resources includes both archaeological resources and historical buildings and/or structures.

The proposed project entails amendments to the Oyster Point Specific Plan that would allow for some rearrangement of Phase II office/R&D, totaling approximately 1,472,000 square feet, along with residential development of 1,191 units rather than office/R&D in Phase II and Phase IV areas.

Review of the information at our office indicates that there have been no cultural resource studies that cover the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update project area. This project area contains no recorded archaeological resources. The State Office of Historic Preservation Historic Property Directory (OHP HPD) (which includes listings of the California Register of Historical Resources, California State Historical Landmarks, California State Points of Historical Interest, and the National Register of Historic Places) lists no recorded buildings or structures within the proposed project area and one recorded building adjacent to the project area, (P-41-000951, 349 Oyster Point Blvd., status code 5S2 – individual property that is eligible for local listing or designation). In addition to these inventories, the NWIC base maps show no recorded buildings or structures within the proposed project area.

At the time of Euroamerican contact the Native Americans that lived in the area were speakers of the Ramaytush language, part of the Costanoan subfamily in the Utian language family (Shipley 1978: 89). There are no Native American resources within or adjacent to the proposed project area that are referenced in the ethnographic literature (Levy 1976).

Based on an evaluation of the environmental setting and features associated with known sites, Native American resources in this part of San Mateo County have been found in areas populated by oak, buckeye, laurel, and hazelnut, as well as near a variety of plant and animal resources. Sites are also found near watercourses and bodies of water. The Oyster Point Specific Plan

Update project area is located in a flat area at Oyster Point on the San Francisco Bay. The project area is situated near the base of wooded hills approximately 1.25 mi. northeast of Colma Creek. Given the similarity of one or more of these environmental factors, there is a moderate potential for unrecorded Native American resources in the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update project area.

Review of historical literature and maps indicated the possibility of historic-period activity within the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update project area. A number of early 20th century maps depict two to three buildings with the project area. Although the buildings do not appear in recent aerial imagery to be standing today, there is the possibility of subsurface deposits within the project area. With this in mind, there is a moderate potential for unrecorded historic-period archaeological resources in the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update project area.

The 1915, 1939, and 1942 USGS San Mateo 15-minute topographic quadrangles depict two to three buildings or structures within the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update project area. These unrecorded buildings/structures meet the Office of Historic Preservation's minimum age standard that buildings, structures, and objects 45 years or older may be of historical value.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1) As noted above, there is a moderate potential of identifying Native American archaeological resources and a moderate potential of identifying historic-period archaeological resources in the project area. Our usual recommendation would include archival research and a field examination. The proposed project area, however, has been highly developed and is presently covered with asphalt, buildings, or fill that obscures the visibility of original surface soils, which negates the feasibility of an adequate surface inspection. It is therefore recommended that prior to ground disturbance, a qualified archaeologist conduct further archival and field study to identify archaeological resources, including a good faith effort to identify archaeological deposits that may show no indications on the surface. Please refer to the list of consultants who meet the Secretary of Interior's Standards at http://www.chrisinfo.org.
- 2) If archaeological resources are encountered <u>during construction</u>, work should be temporarily halted in the vicinity of the discovered materials and workers should avoid altering the materials and their context until a qualified professional archaeologist has evaluated the situation and provided appropriate recommendations. <u>Project personnel should not collect cultural resources</u>. Native American resources include chert or obsidian flakes, projectile points, mortars, and pestles; and dark friable soil containing shell and bone dietary debris, heat-affected rock, or human burials. Historic-period resources include stone or adobe foundations or walls; structures and remains with square nails; and refuse deposits or bottle dumps, often located in old wells or privies.
- 3) We recommend that the lead agency contact the local Native American tribe(s) regarding traditional, cultural, and religious heritage values. For a complete listing of tribes in the vicinity of the project, please contact the Native American Heritage Commission at (916) 373-3710.
- 4) If the proposed project area contains buildings or structures that meet the minimum age requirement, prior to commencement of project activities, it is recommended that

this resource be assessed by a professional familiar with the architecture and history of San Mateo County. Please refer to the list of consultants who meet the Secretary of Interior's Standards at http://www.chrisinfo.org.

- 5) Review for possible historic-period buildings or structures has included only those sources listed in the attached bibliography and should not be considered comprehensive.
- 6) It is recommended that any identified cultural resources be recorded on DPR 523 historic resource recordation forms, available online from the Office of Historic Preservation's website: http://ohp.parks.ca.gov/default.asp?page_id=1069

Due to processing delays and other factors, not all of the historical resource reports and resource records that have been submitted to the Office of Historic Preservation are available via this records search. Additional information may be available through the federal, state, and local agencies that produced or paid for historical resource management work in the search area. Additionally, Native American tribes have historical resource information not in the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) Inventory, and you should contact the California Native American Heritage Commission for information on local/regional tribal contacts.

The California Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) contracts with the California Historical Resources Information System's (CHRIS) regional Information Centers (ICs) to maintain information in the CHRIS inventory and make it available to local, state, and federal agencies, cultural resource professionals, Native American tribes, researchers, and the public. Recommendations made by IC coordinators or their staff regarding the interpretation and application of this information are advisory only. Such recommendations do not necessarily represent the evaluation or opinion of the State Historic Preservation Officer in carrying out the OHP's regulatory authority under federal and state law.

Thank you for using our services. If you have any questions, please contact our office at (707) 588-8455.

Sincerely,

Jessika Akmenkalns Researcher

LITERATURE REVIEWED

In addition to archaeological maps and site records on file at the Northwest Information Center of the Historical Resources Information System, the following literature was reviewed:

Barrows, Henry D., and Luther A. Ingersoll

2005 Memorial and Biographical History of the Coast Counties of Central California.

Three Rocks Research, Santa Cruz (Digital Reproduction of The Lewis Publishing Company, Chicago: 1893.)

Bowman, J.N.

1951 Adobe Houses in the San Francisco Bay Region. In Geologic Guidebook of the San Francisco Bay Counties, Bulletin 154. California Division of Mines, Ferry Building, San Francisco, CA.

Brabb, Earl E., Fred A. Taylor, and George P. Miller

1982 Geologic, Scenic, and Historic Points of Interest in San Mateo County, California. Miscellaneous Investigations Series, Map I-1257-B, 1:62,500. Department of the Interior, United States Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

Department of Environmental Management

1980 Coastside Cultural Resources. Planning Division, San Mateo County, Redwood City, CA.

Fickewirth, Alvin A.

1992 California Railroads. Golden West Books, San Marino, CA.

General Land Office

1858 Survey Plat for Buri Buri Rancho.

1864 Survey Plat for Buri Buri Rancho.

1866 Survey Plat for Township 3 South/Range 5 West.

1868 Survey Plat for Township 3 South/Range 5 West.

Gudde, Erwin G.

1969 California Place Names: The Origin and Etymology of Current Geographical Names. Third Edition. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.

Hart, James D.

1987 A Companion to California. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.

Heizer, Robert F., editor

1974 Local History Studies, Vol. 18., "The Costanoan Indians." California History Center, DeAnza College, Cupertino, CA.

Helley, E.J., K.R. Lajoie, W.E. Spangle, and M.L. Blair

1979 Flatland Deposits of the San Francisco Bay Region - Their Geology and Engineering Properties, and Their Importance to Comprehensive Planning.
Geological Survey Professional Paper 943. United States Geological Survey and Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Hoover, Mildred Brooke, Hero Eugene Rensch, and Ethel Rensch, revised by William N. Abeloe 1966 *Historic Spots in California*. Third Edition. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA.

Hoover, Mildred Brooke, Hero Eugene Rensch, and Ethel Rensch, William N. Abeloe, revised by Douglas E. Kyle

1990 *Historic Spots in California*. Fourth Edition. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA.

Hope, Andrew

2005 Caltrans Statewide Historic Bridge Inventory Update. Caltrans, Division of Environmental Analysis, Sacramento, CA.

Kroeber, A.L.

1925 Handbook of the Indians of California. Bureau of American Ethnology, Bulletin 78, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. (Reprint by Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1976)

Levy, Richard

1978 Costanoan. In *California*, edited by Robert F. Heizer, pp. 485-495. Handbook of North American Indians, vol. 8, William C. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Milliken, Randall

1995 A Time of Little Choice: The Disintegration of Tribal Culture in the San Francisco Bay Area 1769-1810. Ballena Press Anthropological Papers No. 43, Menlo Park, CA.

Nelson, N.C.

1909 Shellmounds of the San Francisco Bay Region. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology 7(4):309-356. Berkeley. (Reprint by Kraus Reprint Corporation, New York, 1964)

Nichols, Donald R., and Nancy A. Wright

1971 Preliminary Map of Historic Margins of Marshland, San Francisco Bay, California. U.S. Geological Survey Open File Map. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C.

Roberts, George, and Jan Roberts

1988 Discover Historic California. Gem Guides Book Co., Pico Rivera, CA.

San Mateo County Historic Resources Advisory Board

1984 San Mateo County: Its History and Heritage. Second Edition. Division of Planning and Development Department of Environmental Management.

San Mateo County Planning and Development Department

n.d. "Historical and Archaeological Resources, Section 5" from the *San Mateo CountyGeneral Plan*.

- State of California Department of Parks and Recreation
 - 1976 California Inventory of Historic Resources. State of California Department of Parks and Recreation, Sacramento.
- State of California Department of Parks and Recreation and Office of Historic Preservation 1988 *Five Views: An Ethnic Sites Survey for California*. State of California Department of Parks and Recreation and Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento.
- State of California Office of Historic Preservation **
 - 2012 *Historic Properties Directory*. Listing by City (through April 2012). State of California Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento.
- VanderWerf, Barbara
 - 1992 Granada: A Synonym for Paradise, The Ocean Shore Railroad Years. Gum Tree Lane Books, El Granada, CA.
- Works Progress Administration
 - 1984 *The WPA Guide to California*. Reprint by Pantheon Books, New York. (Originally published as California: A Guide to the Golden State in 1939 by Books, Inc., distributed by Hastings House Publishers, New York.)
- Yamada, Gayle K. and Dianne Fukami
 - 2003 Building a Community: The Story of Japanese Americans in San Mateo County. AACP, Inc., San Mateo, CA.

**Note that the Office of Historic Preservation's *Historic Properties Directory* includes National Register, State Registered Landmarks, California Points of Historical Interest, and the California Register of Historical Resources as well as Certified Local Government surveys that have undergone Section 106 review.

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

1550 Harbor Blvd., Suite 100 West Sacramento, CA 95691 (916) 373-3710 (916) 373-5471 FAX



June 9, 2017

June 9, 2017

Rebecca Auld Lamphier-Gregory

Email to: rauld@lamphier-gregory.com

OPSP Update Project, San Mateo County

Dear Ms. Auld,

Attached is a consultation list of tribes with traditional lands or cultural places located within the boundaries of the above referenced project.

Government Code §65352.3 requires local governments to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for the purpose of protecting, and/or mitigating impacts to cultural places in creating or amending general plans, including specific plans. As of July 1, 2015, Public Resources Code Sections 21080.3.1 and 21080.3.2 require public agencies to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the NAHC for the purpose mitigating impacts to tribal cultural resources:

Within 14 days of determining that an application for a project is complete or a decision by a public agency to undertake a project, the lead agency shall provide formal notification to the designated contact of, or a tribal representative of, traditionally and culturally affiliated California Native American tribes that have requested notice, which shall be accomplished by means of at least one written notification that includes a brief description of the proposed project and its location, the lead agency contact information, and a notification that the California Native American tribe has 30 days to request consultation pursuant to this section. (Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1(d))

The law does not preclude agencies from initiating consultation with the tribes that are culturally and traditionally affiliated with their jurisdictions. The NAHC believes that in fact that this is the best practice to ensure that tribes are consulted commensurate with the intent of the law.

In accordance with Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1(d), formal notification must include a brief description of the proposed project and its location, the lead agency contact information, and a notification that the California Native American tribe has 30 days to request consultation. The NAHC requests that lead agencies include in their notifications information regarding any cultural resources assessment that has been completed on a potential "area of project affect" (APE), such as:

- 1. The results of any record search that may have been conducted at an Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS), including, but not limited to:
 - A listing of any and all known cultural resources have already been recorded on or adjacent to the APE;
 - Copies of any and all cultural resource records and study reports that may have been provided by the Information Center as part of the records search response;

- If the probability is low, moderate, or high that cultural resources are located in the APE.
- Whether the records search indicates a low, moderate or high probability that unrecorded cultural resources are located in the potential APE; and
- If a survey is recommended by the Information Center to determine whether previously unrecorded cultural resources are present.
- 2. The results of any archaeological inventory survey that was conducted, including:
 - Any report that may contain site forms, site significance, and suggested mitigation measurers.

All information regarding site locations, Native American human remains, and associated funerary objects should be in a separate confidential addendum, and not be made available for pubic disclosure in accordance with Government Code Section 6254.10.

- 3. The results of any Sacred Lands File (SFL) check conducted through Native American Heritage Commission. A search of the SFL was completed for the USGS quadrangle information provided with negative results.
- 4. Any ethnographic studies conducted for any area including all or part of the potential APE; and
- 5. Any geotechnical reports regarding all or part of the potential APE.

Lead agencies should be aware that records maintained by the NAHC and CHRIS is not exhaustive, and a negative response to these searches does not preclude the existence of a cultural place. A tribe may be the only source of information regarding the existence of a tribal cultural resource.

This information will aid tribes in determining whether to request formal consultation. In the case that they do, having the information beforehand well help to facilitate the consultation process.

Lead agencies or agencies potentially undertaking a project are encouraged to send more than one written notice to tribes that are traditionally and culturally affiliated to a potential APE during the 30-day notification period to ensure that the information has been received.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from tribes, please notify me. With your assistance we are able to assure that our consultation list contains current information.

If you have any questions, please contact me at my email address: frank.lienert@nahc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Frank Lienert

Associate Governmental Program Analyst

Native American Heritage Commission Tribal Consultation List 6/9/2017

Coastanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe

Tony Cerda, Chairperson

244 E. 1st Street

Ohlone/Costanoan

Pomona

, CA 91766

rumsen@aol.com

(909) 524-8041 Cell

(909) 629-6081

Amah MutsunTribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista

Irenne Zwierlein, Chairperson

789 Canada Road

Ohlone/Costanoan

Woodside

, CA 94062

amahmutsuntribal@gmail.com

(650) 851-7489 Cell

(650) 851-7747 Office

Muwekma Ohlone Indian Tribe of the SF Bay Area

Rosemary Cambra, Chairperson

P.O. Box 360791

Ohlone / Costanoan

Milpitas

, CA 95036

muwekma@muwekma.org

(408) 314-1898

(510) 581-5194

The Ohlone Indian Tribe

Andrew Galvan

P.O. Box 3152

, CA 94539

Bay Miwok

Fremont

Plains Miwok

Ohlone/Costanoan

chochenyo@AOL.com (510) 882-0527 Cell

Patwin

Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan

Ann Marie Sayers, Chairperson

P.O. Box 28

Ohlone/Costanoan

Hollister

, CA 95024

ams@indiancanyon.org

(831) 637-4238

This list is current only as of the date of this document and is based on the information available to the Commission on the date it was produced.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is applicable only for consultation with Native American tribes under Public Resources Code Sections 21080.1, 21080.3.1, and 21080.3.2 for the proposed: OPSP Update Project, San Mateo County



Coastanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe Tony Cerda, Chairperson 244 E. 1st Street Pomona, CA 91766

CITY COUNCIL 2017

PRADEEP GUPTA, PH.D., MAYOR LIZA NORMANDY, VICE MAYOR MARK ADDIEGO, COUNCILMEMBER RICHARD A. GARBARINO, COUNCILMEMBER KARYL MATSUMOTO, COUNCILMEMBER

MIKE FUTRELL, CITY MANAGER

August 15, 2017

RE: Tribal Cultural Resources under the California Environmental Quality Act, AB 52 (Gatto, 2014). Formal Notification of Project Consideration and Notification of Consultation Opportunity, pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21080.3.1 (hereafter PRC).

Dear Chairperson Tony Cerda:

The City of South San Francisco has received a complete project application for the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update and Details for Phases II, III, and IV ("OPSP Update Project") and has begun environmental analysis of the project. While no notice has been formally requested under PRC § 21080.1(d), this letter has been sent upon the recommendation of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) and Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) to tribes that are culturally and traditionally affiliated with the area.

Below and on the subsequent pages, please find a description of the proposed project, a map showing the project location, and the name of our project point of contact, pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (d).

Project Description

The site of the OPSP is part of the City's "East of 101" planning area, bound by the San Francisco Bay on the east and Highway 101 and railway lines on the west. The OPSP encompasses approximately 81 acres of land at the eastern end of Oyster Point and Marina Boulevards in South San Francisco. The currently proposed update would affect approximately 32.6 acres of the 81-acre OPSP area (APNs 015-010-240, -630, -999). The site currently houses the Oyster Point Business Park consisting of five single-story light-industrial buildings at 375/377, 379, 384, 385 and 389 Oyster Point Boulevard that were developed in the early 1980s totaling 403,212 square feet of space with surrounding parking. Currently, these buildings are occupied by a variety of light industrial, office, and Research and Development (R&D) tenants. A portion of the existing Oyster Point Boulevard roadway is also included in the Project area. An aerial photograph with the site indicated is included on the last page.

Subject: Formal Notification of Project Consideration – Oyster Point Specific Plan Update Project

Results of Records Searches

A search of the Sacred Lands File conducted through NAHC had negative results in the project quadrangle.

A search of the California Historical Resources Information System through NWIC identified no cultural resource studies of the site or known resources in the immediate vicinity but identified a moderate potential for unrecorded Native American resources given the environmental factors of the site. Because the site is currently covered with structures and pavement, the City intends to require a field inspection and a report containing "next-step" recommendations following the exposure of the original soils, per NWIC recommendations.

No other known relevant surveys or studies have been performed.

Lead Agency Point of Contact

Attn: Billy Gross, Senior Planner
City of South San Francisco
Department of Economic and Community Development
315 Maple Street
South San Francisco, CA 94080
650-877-8535

Email: billy.gross@ssf.net

Pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (b), you have 30 days from the receipt of this letter to request consultation, in writing, with the City of South San Francisco.

Very Respectfully,





CITY COUNCIL 2017

PRADEEP GUPTA, PH.D., MAYOR LIZA NORMANDY, VICE MAYOR MARK ADDIEGO, COUNCILMEMBER RICHARD A. GARBARINO, COUNCILMEMBER KARYL MATSUMOTO, COUNCILMEMBER

MIKE FUTRELL, CITY MANAGER

Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista Irenne Zwierlein, Chairperson 789 Canada Road Woodside, CA 94062 August 15, 2017

RE: Tribal Cultural Resources under the California Environmental Quality Act, AB 52 (Gatto, 2014). Formal Notification of Project Consideration and Notification of Consultation Opportunity, pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21080.3.1 (hereafter PRC).

Dear Chairperson Irenne Zwierlein:

The City of South San Francisco has received a complete project application for the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update and Details for Phases II, III, and IV ("OPSP Update Project") and has begun environmental analysis of the project. While no notice has been formally requested under PRC § 21080.1(d), this letter has been sent upon the recommendation of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) and Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) to tribes that are culturally and traditionally affiliated with the area.

Below and on the subsequent pages, please find a description of the proposed project, a map showing the project location, and the name of our project point of contact, pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (d).

Project Description

The site of the OPSP is part of the City's "East of 101" planning area, bound by the San Francisco Bay on the east and Highway 101 and railway lines on the west. The OPSP encompasses approximately 81 acres of land at the eastern end of Oyster Point and Marina Boulevards in South San Francisco. The currently proposed update would affect approximately 32.6 acres of the 81-acre OPSP area (APNs 015-010-240, -630, -999). The site currently houses the Oyster Point Business Park consisting of five single-story light-industrial buildings at 375/377, 379, 384, 385 and 389 Oyster Point Boulevard that were developed in the early 1980s totaling 403,212 square feet of space with surrounding parking. Currently, these buildings are occupied by a variety of light industrial, office, and Research and Development (R&D) tenants. A portion of the existing Oyster Point Boulevard roadway is also included in the Project area. An aerial photograph with the site indicated is included on the last page.

Subject: Formal Notification of Project Consideration – Oyster Point Specific Plan Update Project

Results of Records Searches

A search of the Sacred Lands File conducted through NAHC had negative results in the project quadrangle.

A search of the California Historical Resources Information System through NWIC identified no cultural resource studies of the site or known resources in the immediate vicinity but identified a moderate potential for unrecorded Native American resources given the environmental factors of the site. Because the site is currently covered with structures and pavement, the City intends to require a field inspection and a report containing "next-step" recommendations following the exposure of the original soils, per NWIC recommendations.

No other known relevant surveys or studies have been performed.

Lead Agency Point of Contact

Attn: Billy Gross, Senior Planner
City of South San Francisco
Department of Economic and Community Development
315 Maple Street
South San Francisco, CA 94080
650-877-8535
Email: billy.gross@ssf.net

Pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (b), you have 30 days from the receipt of this letter to request consultation, in writing, with the City of South San Francisco.

Very Respectfully,





The Ohlone Indian Tribe Andrew Galvan, Chairperson P.O. Box 3152 Fremont, CA 94539

CITY COUNCIL 2017

PRADEEP GUPTA, PH.D., MAYOR LIZA NORMANDY, VICE MAYOR MARK ADDIEGO, COUNCILMEMBER RICHARD A. GARBARINO, COUNCILMEMBER KARYL MATSUMOTO, COUNCILMEMBER

MIKE FUTRELL, CITY MANAGER

August 15, 2017

RE: Tribal Cultural Resources under the California Environmental Quality Act, AB 52 (Gatto, 2014). Formal Notification of Project Consideration and Notification of Consultation Opportunity, pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21080.3.1 (hereafter PRC).

Dear Chairperson Andrew Galvan:

The City of South San Francisco has received a complete project application for the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update and Details for Phases II, III, and IV ("OPSP Update Project") and has begun environmental analysis of the project. While no notice has been formally requested under PRC § 21080.1(d), this letter has been sent upon the recommendation of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) and Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) to tribes that are culturally and traditionally affiliated with the area.

Below and on the subsequent pages, please find a description of the proposed project, a map showing the project location, and the name of our project point of contact, pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (d).

Project Description

The site of the OPSP is part of the City's "East of 101" planning area, bound by the San Francisco Bay on the east and Highway 101 and railway lines on the west. The OPSP encompasses approximately 81 acres of land at the eastern end of Oyster Point and Marina Boulevards in South San Francisco. The currently proposed update would affect approximately 32.6 acres of the 81-acre OPSP area (APNs 015-010-240, -630, -999). The site currently houses the Oyster Point Business Park consisting of five single-story light-industrial buildings at 375/377, 379, 384, 385 and 389 Oyster Point Boulevard that were developed in the early 1980s totaling 403,212 square feet of space with surrounding parking. Currently, these buildings are occupied by a variety of light industrial, office, and Research and Development (R&D) tenants. A portion of the existing Oyster Point Boulevard roadway is also included in the Project area. An aerial photograph with the site indicated is included on the last page.

Subject: Formal Notification of Project Consideration – Oyster Point Specific Plan Update Project

Results of Records Searches

A search of the Sacred Lands File conducted through NAHC had negative results in the project quadrangle.

A search of the California Historical Resources Information System through NWIC identified no cultural resource studies of the site or known resources in the immediate vicinity but identified a moderate potential for unrecorded Native American resources given the environmental factors of the site. Because the site is currently covered with structures and pavement, the City intends to require a field inspection and a report containing "next-step" recommendations following the exposure of the original soils, per NWIC recommendations.

No other known relevant surveys or studies have been performed.

Lead Agency Point of Contact

Attn: Billy Gross, Senior Planner
City of South San Francisco
Department of Economic and Community Development
315 Maple Street
South San Francisco, CA 94080
650-877-8535
Email: billy.gross@ssf.net

Pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (b), you have 30 days from the receipt of this letter to request consultation, in writing, with the City of South San Francisco.

Very Respectfully,





CITY COUNCIL 2017

PRADEEP GUPTA, PH.D., MAYOR LIZA NORMANDY, VICE MAYOR MARK ADDIEGO, COUNCILMEMBER RICHARD A. GARBARINO, COUNCILMEMBER KARYL MATSUMOTO, COUNCILMEMBER

MIKE FUTRELL, CITY MANAGER

August 15, 2017

Muwekma Ohlone Indian Tribe of the SF Bay Area Rosemary Cambra, Chairperson P.O. Box 360791 Milpitas, CA 95036

RE: Tribal Cultural Resources under the California Environmental Quality Act, AB 52 (Gatto, 2014). Formal Notification of Project Consideration and Notification of Consultation Opportunity, pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21080.3.1 (hereafter PRC).

Dear Chairperson Rosemary Cambra:

The City of South San Francisco has received a complete project application for the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update and Details for Phases II, III, and IV ("OPSP Update Project") and has begun environmental analysis of the project. While no notice has been formally requested under PRC § 21080.1(d), this letter has been sent upon the recommendation of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) and Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) to tribes that are culturally and traditionally affiliated with the area.

Below and on the subsequent pages, please find a description of the proposed project, a map showing the project location, and the name of our project point of contact, pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (d).

Project Description

The site of the OPSP is part of the City's "East of 101" planning area, bound by the San Francisco Bay on the east and Highway 101 and railway lines on the west. The OPSP encompasses approximately 81 acres of land at the eastern end of Oyster Point and Marina Boulevards in South San Francisco. The currently proposed update would affect approximately 32.6 acres of the 81-acre OPSP area (APNs 015-010-240, -630, -999). The site currently houses the Oyster Point Business Park consisting of five single-story light-industrial buildings at 375/377, 379, 384, 385 and 389 Oyster Point Boulevard that were developed in the early 1980s totaling 403,212 square feet of space with surrounding parking. Currently, these buildings are occupied by a variety of light industrial, office, and Research and Development (R&D) tenants. A portion of the existing Oyster Point Boulevard roadway is also included in the Project area. An aerial photograph with the site indicated is included on the last page.

Subject: Formal Notification of Project Consideration – Oyster Point Specific Plan Update Project

Results of Records Searches

A search of the Sacred Lands File conducted through NAHC had negative results in the project quadrangle.

A search of the California Historical Resources Information System through NWIC identified no cultural resource studies of the site or known resources in the immediate vicinity but identified a moderate potential for unrecorded Native American resources given the environmental factors of the site. Because the site is currently covered with structures and pavement, the City intends to require a field inspection and a report containing "next-step" recommendations following the exposure of the original soils, per NWIC recommendations.

No other known relevant surveys or studies have been performed.

Lead Agency Point of Contact

Attn: Billy Gross, Senior Planner
City of South San Francisco
Department of Economic and Community Development
315 Maple Street
South San Francisco, CA 94080
650-877-8535
Email: billy.gross@ssf.net

Pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (b), you have 30 days from the receipt of this letter to request consultation, in writing, with the City of South San Francisco.

Very Respectfully,





Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan Ann Marie Sayers, Chairperson P.O. Box 28 Hollister, CA 95024

CITY COUNCIL 2017

PRADEEP GUPTA, PH.D., MAYOR LIZA NORMANDY, VICE MAYOR MARK ADDIEGO, COUNCILMEMBER RICHARD A. GARBARINO, COUNCILMEMBER KARYL MATSUMOTO, COUNCILMEMBER

MIKE FUTRELL, CITY MANAGER

August 15, 2017

RE: Tribal Cultural Resources under the California Environmental Quality Act, AB 52 (Gatto, 2014). Formal Notification of Project Consideration and Notification of Consultation Opportunity, pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21080.3.1 (hereafter PRC).

Dear Chairperson Ann Marie Sayers:

The City of South San Francisco has received a complete project application for the Oyster Point Specific Plan Update and Details for Phases II, III, and IV ("OPSP Update Project") and has begun environmental analysis of the project. While no notice has been formally requested under PRC § 21080.1(d), this letter has been sent upon the recommendation of the Northwest Information Center (NWIC) and Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) to tribes that are culturally and traditionally affiliated with the area.

Below and on the subsequent pages, please find a description of the proposed project, a map showing the project location, and the name of our project point of contact, pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (d).

Project Description

The site of the OPSP is part of the City's "East of 101" planning area, bound by the San Francisco Bay on the east and Highway 101 and railway lines on the west. The OPSP encompasses approximately 81 acres of land at the eastern end of Oyster Point and Marina Boulevards in South San Francisco. The currently proposed update would affect approximately 32.6 acres of the 81-acre OPSP area (APNs 015-010-240, -630, -999). The site currently houses the Oyster Point Business Park consisting of five single-story light-industrial buildings at 375/377, 379, 384, 385 and 389 Oyster Point Boulevard that were developed in the early 1980s totaling 403,212 square feet of space with surrounding parking. Currently, these buildings are occupied by a variety of light industrial, office, and Research and Development (R&D) tenants. A portion of the existing Oyster Point Boulevard roadway is also included in the Project area. An aerial photograph with the site indicated is included on the last page.

Subject: Formal Notification of Project Consideration – Oyster Point Specific Plan Update Project

Results of Records Searches

A search of the Sacred Lands File conducted through NAHC had negative results in the project quadrangle.

A search of the California Historical Resources Information System through NWIC identified no cultural resource studies of the site or known resources in the immediate vicinity but identified a moderate potential for unrecorded Native American resources given the environmental factors of the site. Because the site is currently covered with structures and pavement, the City intends to require a field inspection and a report containing "next-step" recommendations following the exposure of the original soils, per NWIC recommendations.

No other known relevant surveys or studies have been performed.

Lead Agency Point of Contact

Attn: Billy Gross, Senior Planner
City of South San Francisco
Department of Economic and Community Development
315 Maple Street
South San Francisco, CA 94080
650-877-8535

Email: billy.gross@ssf.net

Pursuant to PRC § 21080.3.1 (b), you have 30 days from the receipt of this letter to request consultation, in writing, with the City of South San Francisco.

Very Respectfully,

