

**Government Code Section
54957.5
SB 343 Item Agenda:
02/28/2024
REG CC - Item 11**

Rosa Acosta
City Clerk
City of South San Francisco

February 28, 2024

Via email Rosa.Acosta@ssf.net

RE: Hearing re 146 Gardiner Avenue
City Council Hearing
Hearing Time: 6:30 pm
Date: February 28, 2024
Location: City Council Chamber
1 Chestnut Avenue, South San Francisco, CA 94080

Objection to the Cost Report and Request for Continuance

Dear Ms. Acosta:

I have received a notice from your office stating that there is a hearing tonight regarding the assessment of fees against me. Please consider this letter to be my opposition to the imposition of special assessment and the recordation of a lien against my property and request for a continuance for the reasons explained below

I. Introduction

It is my understanding that the hearing has to do with citations that I now am informed were issued by Code Enforcement. I was not aware of the Citations and Code Enforcement action until recently when I was told that Citations had been issued. I was recently informed that Citations were posted on the Property but not on the front door. Based upon the information that I received regarding the location, they were not posted in a conspicuous place and, as a result, never saw them.

II. Discussion

Continuance and Objections

In view of the fact that I did not receive copies of the notices and Citations, and that there are no supporting documents showing the work done, by whom, when and the time spent on each task, request is made that the matter be continued to provide me an opportunity to review supporting documents.

Section 8.54.080 provides that certain administrative costs can be recovered by the City in connection with the enforcement process for the abatement of condition defined as a nuisance. A reading of the Cost Report leads me to believe that the Administrative costs were not related to the abatement of conditions defined as a nuisance. As stated above, I need to see all supporting invoices describing all the Administrative Costs. Chapter 8. 54 defines "Costs" as administrative costs, including staff time expended and reasonable related to nuisance abatement. It does not appear that a Hearing Officer time is included in the Administrative costs and should therefore be excluded

The Administrative Costs are sought to be imposed because of a nuisance. I have reviewed sections 8.54.070 and 8.54.080. None of the descriptions of the matters constituting a nuisance are present in my situation. For that reason alone, The City should not impose Administrative Costs.

I respectfully request that this Honorable City Council grant my request for a continuance or, in the alternative, decide not to (1) impose Administrative Costs, (2) authorize a lien and special assessment on the Property.

Respectfully Submitted,

Nav Lin

