

## Agenda Item

### PUBLIC COMMENTS

3 Public Comments



•

[Guest User](#) at July 28, 2021 at 12:32pm PDT

South San Francisco City Council,

I am asking that you discontinue the School Liaison Officer (SLO) program at SSFUSD. The students have presented convincing factual and peer reviewed research that demonstrates the ineffectiveness and harm of officers interactions with students. It is also important to mention that one of the authors of the MOU was the chief. This a conflict on interest in that he, like many other chiefs, in other cities are likely to act in the interest of securing overtime pay and huge salaries for their department. This program benefits the officers' salaries while harming the students since these officers lack adequate training when interacting with students. The police dept already eats up so much of the city's budget and no extra and unnecessary funds should go to them. This is similar to nearly every city and in the last year many citizens are recognizing the need to transform education, starting with removing officers from campus. The SLO program should be discontinued in the next year and the years to follow.



•

[Guest User](#) at July 28, 2021 at 12:04pm PDT

Dear City Council,

You should have taken a stand on SB 10 last night. By passing CEQA is not the answer to getting more affordable housing. At what cost? A potential cost to our environment and our future. You should reconsider your position and thank you Council member Nicolas for at least making a motion for SB 10.



•

[Guest User](#) at July 28, 2021 at 11:11am PDT

Hello City Council,

This interface to submit a virtual public comment is very cumbersome. I recommend using Google Forms as it is much easier for people to use.

Also, I find it odd that the Special City Council Meeting at 6:01 PM didn't have the eComments tab or link available. The MOU between our schools and SLOs is on the Special City Council Meeting agenda. Does this mean that you didn't want people to comment on this very important safety matter?

Since the eComment isn't available, I am commenting here. This comment is in opposition to the MOU between SSFUSD and SSFPD for SLOs at our schools. Police presence is not required at schools.

The MOU does not explicitly state student protection or rights or how SLOs performance will be evaluated and the reporting requirements are not stringent enough. Although it says "SLOs will tell administrators prior," there is no mention of discussion- what if the school administrator does not want this to happen- do they have the power to prevent and stand in defense of the students? What will SLOs do to prevent injury or defamation of the students? SLOs should be required to write and submit reports for each incident they are involved in to the school administration and be transparent with students. Why are SLOs performance only evaluated once a year and why is their presence at school contingent on how the Chief of Police views them and now how the school views them. I believe the school student body should have a say in who and which SLOs they want to keep for their own protection.

Best case scenario is to remove SLOs from schools. Use taxpayer money to pay for school counselors and nurses and in school restorative justice circles and groups.